

REPRESENTATION COMMISSION

OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES

This booklet contains a summary of the objections to the proposed electoral boundaries that have been received by the Representation Commission.

Any individual or organisation can make a counter-objection to these objections. Counter-objections must be received by the Commission by **Thursday, 28 June 2007**.

A copy of the complete objections can be viewed at the Chief Electoral Office, Level 9, Sovereign House, 180 Molesworth Street, Wellington from Thursday, 14 June 2007 until Thursday, 28 June 2007.

A copy of individual objections can be requested. Photocopying costs may be charged.

How to make a counter-objection

Counter-objection forms are available at places of inspection and online at <http://www.elections.org.nz>.

A separate counter-objection should be filed in respect of each separate objection which you oppose. Full details of each counter-objection must be in writing.

Counter-objections may be lodged either:

by post addressed to	The Secretary Representation Commission PO Box 3220 Wellington
or by Facsimile to	Facsimile Number (04) 495 0031
or by e-mail to	representation.commission@justice.govt.nz
or by hand delivery (before 5.00pm) to	Chief Electoral Office Level 9 Sovereign House 180 Molesworth Street Wellington

Late counter-objections cannot be considered.

Information to include in your counter-objection

- (i) your name, address and contact telephone number (day and night)
- (ii) the electorate(s) to which the counter-objection applies
- (iii) the specific objection(s) the counter-objection applies to, using where possible the objection numbers allocated by the Commission
- (iv) the reasons why you object to the objection
- (v) a suggested solution to address the issues raised in your counter-

- objection
- (vi) whether you would like to present your counter-objection to the Commission in person (whether or not a counter-objector is heard in person, all counter-objections will be fully considered by the Commission).

Key things to consider when making your counter-objection

Electorates must have a certain number of people in them. The limits for the number of people in each electorate are:

	Quota	5% Allowance
North Island General Electorates	57,243	+/- 2,862
South Island General Electorates	57,562	+/- 2,878
Māori Electorates	59,583	+/- 2,979

Electoral boundaries are set using criteria specified in the Electoral Act. These criteria are listed below. Your counter-objection to any objection on the proposed boundaries should also be based on these criteria:

- Existing boundaries – where these currently are located.
- Community of interest – this includes factors such as tribal affiliations for Māori electorates.
- Facilities of communications – this includes access to communications links such as roads and telephone services.
- Topographical features – this includes factors such as where mountains and rivers are located.
- Projected variations in electoral populations – this includes anticipated increases or decreases in the size of the population over the next five years.

Key dates

28 June 2007	Counter-objections close
16-28 July 2007	Public hearings of objections and counter-objections
26 September 2007	Final electorate boundaries published

COUNTER-OBJECTION FORM

Full name

Address
.....
.....
.....

Telephone number Day Night

Electorate your counter-objection relates to

Objection number your counter-objection relates to.....

Objector's name.....

State the aspect(s) of the objection your counter-objection relates to (attach additional pages if required)

.....
.....
.....

Give reasons for your counter-objection (attach additional pages if required)

.....
.....
.....

Describe any suggested changes to the objection that would address the issue(s) you have raised

.....
.....
.....

Do you want to present your counter-objection in person?

- Yes
- No

Checklist

Have you:

- included your name, address and telephone number
- filled in all of the sections in this form
- outlined your reasons for your counter-objection based on the statutory criteria that are used to set the boundaries.

Remember to make sure you send your counter-objection in time for it to reach the Commission by Thursday, 28 June 2007.

OVERVIEW OF OBJECTIONS

331 objections have been received to the proposed electorate boundaries. Over 1300 people have contributed through submissions and petitions.

The objections mainly focus on the inclusion of a particular community or geographic location in an electorate and electorate names.

In the North Island, the proposed new **Pakuranga** electorate has attracted 58 objections - the most objections for a single electorate. The most common issues raised relate to the inclusion of Glenn Innes and Panmure in the electorate, the disruption of an established community of interest with Howick and support for maintaining the Tamaki estuary as a natural boundary.

In the proposed **Hunua** electorate, objections propose that the Beachlands/Maraetai and Whitford areas be included in the Howick electorate and Hingaia (west of the motorway) and the Clevedon Ward of Manukau City be included in the Papakura electorate. The 17 objections include suggestions that the electorate name change to 'Franklin'.

Fourteen objections were made about the proposed **Manurewa** electorate, including two petitions – one of over 400 and one of over 100 signatures. A number of objections support parts of the proposed Manurewa electorate being placed in other electorates and ensuring communities of interest are kept together in electorates. Some submissions propose including Mission Heights and Flat Bush and surrounds in the Howick electorate. Others propose including Weymouth and surrounds in the Manurewa electorate rather than the Papakura electorate and including Manara Place in the Papakura or Hunua electorate.

The proposed **Piako** electorate received 13 objections. These included recommending a name change to 'Waikato' and objection to the inclusion of parts of Paparimu in the electorate.

The eleven objections to the proposed **Wairarapa** electorate were concerned with the inclusion of Waipukurau and parts of the Central Hawke's Bay in the electorate, and the name of the electorate.

The proposed enlarged **Napier** electorate attracted 39 objections. Common issues relate to including communities and locations in the north of the proposed electorate, with the suggestion that they should be in the East Coast electorate. Objections have been made to including north of the Whararata Ranges, the Cook Ward of the Gisborne District Council, the Poverty Bay Flats, Patutahi and the Tiniroto District in the Napier electorate.

In the South Island, the 22 objections on the proposed new **Waitaki** electorate mainly focus on excluding Timaru from the electorate and including Geraldine, Central Otago and South Canterbury in it.

In the proposed **Selwyn** electorate, 16 objections were made about including suburbs such as Westmorland, Bishopdale and part of Papanui in the electorate.

Concern about the inclusion of Wakefield in the **West Coast Tasman** electorate dominates objections about that proposed boundary. Fourteen objections have been made about including Wakefield in the electorate, including a petition of over 500 signatures. Most observe that Wakefield's community of interest is with Nelson.

The proposed **Christchurch Central** electorate attracted 13 objections, mainly concerning the inclusion of parts of Papanui in the electorate.

Eleven objections were made about the proposed **Clutha-Southland** electorate. These included objections to the name and to including Mataura and Roxburgh in the electorate.

Electorates with 10 or fewer objections

Waitakere (2) – The inclusion of Muriwai and parts of Sunnyvale.

Tamaki (3) - Objections to the omission of a macron in the name Tāmaki and to the boundary with Maungakiekie.

Howick (2) – Supporting the proposed boundary and objecting to the inclusion of Mellons Bay and the MacLeans College catchment area.

Manukau East (6) - Objections to the electorate name and the splitting of the Botany Ward of the Manukau City Council across four electorates. Two submissions support the proposed boundaries.

Mangere (6) - Objections have been made to the proposed boundary between the Mangere and Manukau East electorates. Submissions propose that the area to the east of Mangere Road be included in the Manukau East electorate and the boundary with the Manukau East electorate run along Portage Road. Another supports the use of a macron in the name Māngere.

Papakura (4) – Includes objections to the name and to the inclusion of Weymouth and surrounds.

Hamilton West (2) – Objections to the inclusion of the rural area to the west of Hamilton City, including Whatawhata and Te Kowhai.

Bay of Plenty (2) – Includes objections to the electorate name and to the splitting of the Tauranga-Bay of Plenty communities of interest.

Rotorua (6) – Includes objections to the inclusion of the Kaimai, Matahina-Minginui, Murupara and Oropi areas.

Taupo (3) – Includes objections to the electorate name and including Cambridge in the electorate.

Taranaki-King Country (7) – Includes objections to parts of the Waikato, such as Raglan, as well as the Ruaphehu District being in the electorate and to the electorate name.

Whanganui (2) – Includes objections to the name of the electorate and to the inclusion of South Taranaki.

East Coast (7) – Includes objections to parts of the western Bay of Plenty being in the electorate and the electorate name.

Tukituki (2) – Support for the proposed boundary, despite the splitting of the Central Hawke's Bay District, and a suggestion for a name change.

Mana (3) – Includes objections to the boundary in Tawa-Linden running down the centreline of residential streets.

Rimutaka (4) – Includes objections to the name and to the inclusion of Belmont.

Ohariu (4) – Objections to the inclusion of the Western Hutt Hills in the electorate and a suggested name change.

Kaikoura (2) - Noting population growth in the Marlborough region and requesting a macron be used in the name.

Waimakariri (2) – Includes objections to the inclusion of Rangiora and surrounds and to the area on the north side of Winters Road.

Christchurch East (2) - Suggesting a name change.

Dunedin North (2) - Support for the boundary and an objection to the proposed boundary between the Dunedin North and Waitaki electorates.

Invercargill (8) – Includes objections to the name and to including the Catlins community in the electorate.

Pare Hauraki – Pare Waikato (6) – Includes objections to the proposed name and boundary and also submissions supporting these.

Te Tai Hauauru (2) – Includes objections to the omission of a macron in the name and to the inclusion of Ngati Maniapoto, away from the rest of the Tainui confederation.

The following electorates received one objection each. More information on each is available in the Summary of Objections.

General Electorates: Northland, Te Atatu, New Lynn, Mt Roskill, Maungakiekie, Coromandel, Rangitikei, Palmerston North, Otaki, Nelson, Banks Peninsula

Maori Electorates: Tamaki-Makaurau, Ikaroa-Rawhiti, Te Tai Tonga.

Electoral District and Nature of Objection

N01

Northland

N01 001 *b*

Objection Far North District Council

Objects to the boundary of the proposed Northland electorate on the grounds of population growth, geographic size, communication facilities and community of interest.

Anticipated growth on the east coast of Northland may vary considerably from the projected population figures given.

Also, Northland is a predominantly rural electorate that now includes two urban areas. Given the geographic size and the communication facilities of the electorate, this will make it difficult for any MP to effectively represent the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Retain the existing boundary.

N08

Te Atatu

N08 001 *n*

Objection G R Seymour

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Te Atatu electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Te Atatū'

N09

Waitakere

N09 001 *b*

Objection B L Pillay, MP – Waitakere

Objects to the inclusion of Muriwai in the proposed Waitakere electorate. Muriwai is isolated by topography and transport facilities from the rest of the electorate and is part of the Rodney District, rather than Waitakere City.

Suggested solution Do not include Muriwai in the Waitakere electorate.

N09 002 *b*

Objection Hon Chris Carter, MP – Te Atatu

Objects to the inclusion of the northern part of the suburb of Sunnyvale in the proposed Waitakere electorate. This suburb shares a community of interest with Henderson and the Te Atatu electorate.

Suggested solution Run the boundary with the Te Atatu electorate along RAILSIDE AVENUE, VIEW ROAD, JAMES LAURIE STREET, and along GREAT NORTHERN ROAD to the boundary with SABULITE ROAD.

N10

New Lynn

N10 001 *n*

Objection B R Costley

Objects to the name 'New Lynn' for the proposed electorate as the New Lynn area is no longer central to the electorate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Titirangi'

N12

Mt Roskill

N12 001 *b, h*

Objection B P Dove

Objects the inclusion of parts of Blockhouse Bay in the proposed Mt Roskill electorate, specifically Donovan Street, Lewis Street, Shipton Place, Francis Curtis Drive, Eleanor Place and Lynbrooke Avenue. These streets share a strong community of interest and their facilities of communication and transport with the rest of Blockhouse Bay.

Suggested solution Place these streets in the New Lynn electorate.

N15

Tamaki

N15 001 *n*

Objection G R Seymour

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Tamaki electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Tāmaki'

N15 002 *n*

Objection G M Papuni

Similar to N15/001

Suggested solution Similar to N15/001

N15 003 *b, h*

Objection New Zealand National Party – Northern Region

Objects to the inclusion of the area bounded by Michaels Avenue in the west and Jellicoe Road in the east, up to the current Maungakiekie – Tamaki electorate boundary, in the proposed Tamaki electorate. This area includes all of the Stanhope Road School catchment area, and is topographically isolated from the rest of the electorate. It shares a community of interest with the Maungakiekie electorate.

Suggested solution Retain the current boundary in this area.

N16

Maungakiekie

- N16 001 *b, h* **Objection** **New Zealand National Party – Northern Region**
Objects to the inclusion of the area of eastern Otahuhu bounded by Great South Road, Atkinson Road and Princes Street, in the proposed Maungakiekie electorate.
Suggested solution Include this area in the Manukau East electorate.

N17

Pakuranga

- N17 001 *b* **Objection** **J S Peters**
Objects to the inclusion of Panmure and Glen Innes in the proposed Pakuranga electorate. They fall within the established administrative boundaries of Auckland City, whereas other areas in the Pakuranga electorate are part of Manukau City.
Suggested solution Consider a new electorate along the Eastern Corridor
- N17 002 *b* **Objection** **J Victorino**
Similar to N17/001
Suggested solution None given
- N17 003 *b* **Objection** **J E Weldon**
Similar to N17/001
Suggested solution Retain the current boundary
- N17 004 *b* **Objection** **G Webster & H Razak**
Similar to N17/001
Also objects on the grounds of geographical features as the Tamaki Estuary forms a natural boundary.
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
- N17 005 *b* **Objection** **Pakuranga Community Board**
Similar to N17/004
The proposed boundary also disrupts a long established distinct community of interest on the Howick edge of the electorate, and does not allow for future population growth around the Pakuranga Town Centre.
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003

N17	006	<i>b</i>	<p>Objection M D Palmer</p> <p>Similar to N17/004</p> <p>Objects to the proposed boundary as it does not comply with the statutory criteria for drawing electorates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Panmure and Glen Innes have little community of interest with Pakuranga ▪ The Tamaki Estuary acts as a natural topographical break between Pakuranga and Panmure/Glen Innes ▪ The only communication and transport links across the estuary are two bridges. <p><i>Suggested solution</i> Redraw the boundaries in line with the Electoral Act criteria. Two mapped options provided.</p> <p>This could be done by removing the area to the west of the Panmure Bridge and substituting an area from the west of the proposed Howick electorate. The Howick electorate could then be expanded eastwards to include the Whitford, Maraetai and Beachlands communities, which have a longstanding association with Howick.</p> <p>or</p> <p>Include the areas of St Heliers and St Johns, rather than Panmure and Glen Innes, as they have a stronger shared community of interest with the Pakuranga electorate.</p>
N17	007	<i>b</i>	<p>Objection M Bunge-Krueger</p> <p>Similar to N17/001</p> <p><i>Suggested solution</i> None given</p>
N17	008	<i>b, h</i>	<p>Objection E Dillimore-Muir</p> <p>Similar to N17/004</p> <p><i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003</p>
N17	009	<i>b</i>	<p>Objection A C Power</p> <p>Similar to N17/004</p> <p><i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003</p>
N17	010	<i>b</i>	<p>Objection L D Galpin</p> <p>Similar to N17/004</p> <p><i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003</p>
N17	011	<i>b, h</i>	<p>Objection C O Jordan</p> <p>Similar to N17/004</p> <p><i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003</p>
N17	012	<i>b, h</i>	<p>Objection D E Wilson</p> <p>Similar to N17/004</p> <p><i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003</p>

- N17 013 *b* **Objection R A Smyth**
 Similar to N17/004
Suggested solution Use the Tamaki River as the south-eastern boundary for a new electorate called 'Maungarei'. This electorate would have boundaries that included the areas of St Heliers, Glendowie, Glen Innes, St Johns, Point England, Lake Park, Tamaki, Mt Wellington, Sylvia Park and Otahuhu.
- N17 014 *b* **Objection M Colquhoun**
 Similar to N17/004
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
- N17 015 *b, h* **Objection S Henry, The Housing Lobby**
 Similar to N17/004
Suggested solution Place Glen Innes, Point England and Panmure in the Tamaki electorate.
- N17 016 *b, h* **Objection N J Barclay**
 Similar to N17/005
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
- N17 017 *b* **Objection P N Martin**
 Similar to N17/004
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
- N17 018 *b, h* **Objection G J Pellew**
 Similar to N17/005
Suggested solution Similar to N17/005
- N17 019 *b, h* **Objection B R Warren**
 Similar to N17/005
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
- N17 020 *b* **Objection R A Barfoote**
 Similar to N17/005
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
- N17 021 *b* **Objection G S Gray**
 Similar to N17/004
Suggested solution The Panmure area should be part of an Auckland City based electorate and the Pakuranga area part of a Manukau City based electorate.
- N17 022 *b* **Objection G B & K S Moore**
 Similar to N17/004
 Notes that the population of the existing electorate does not require a change to boundaries.
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003

N17	023	<i>b</i>	Objection	T Doherty	Similar to N17/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	024	<i>b</i>	Objection	J Laing	Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> None given
N17	025	<i>b</i>	Objection	S McMurray	Similar to N17/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	026	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	D J Catley	Similar to N17/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	027	<i>b, n</i>	Objection	D Rose	Similar to N17/005 Also objects to the name 'Pakuranga' for the proposed electorate as the name does not fully represent the electorate. <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003 The Tamaki electorate boundary could be moved south into Maungakiekie and the Howick electorate boundary moved east to include Whitford, Maraetai and Beachlands. The Pakuranga electorate could be renamed 'Ohuiarangi' after the volcanic cone at its centre.
N17	028	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	Manukau City Council	Similar to N17/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	029	<i>b</i>	Objection	H L & J M Simkin	Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> None given
N17	030	<i>b</i>	Objection	A Ho	Similar to N17/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Retain the existing Pakuranga electorate as much as possible. Glen Innes, Panmure and Point England should remain in the Tamaki electorate and Sylvia Park in the Maungakiekie electorate. Include Highland Park, Howick and Mellons Bay in the Pakuranga electorate. Include Cockle Bay, Shelly Park and Burswood in the proposed Howick electorate. Beachlands and Maraetai might also be included. To better reflect the new centre of this electorate, it should be called 'Botany' or 'Botany-Beachlands'. Further adjustments to maintain quotas in neighbouring electorates suggested.

N17	031	b	Objection	J C Mullane Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	032	b	Objection	G E Kirk Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	033	b	Objection	W Thrupp Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	034	b, h	Objection	N Morrison & J Ross, Councillors – Manukau City Similar to N17/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	035	b, h	Objection	S Warren, Chairman – Bucklands & Eastern Beaches Ratepayers & Residents Association Inc Similar to N17/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	036	b	Objection	A Gautier Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> None given
N17	037	b	Objection	R N Burgham Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	038	b	Objection	M N Burgham Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	039	b	Objection	S C Burgham Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	040	b	Objection	N V & B F Holyoake Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	041	b	Objection	I Fox, Principal – Bucklands Beach Intermediate Similar to N17/004 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003

- N17 042 *b, h* **Objection C R Mikkelson**
 Similar to N17/005
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
- N17 043 *b* **Objection B & J Jones**
 Objects to the western boundary of the proposed Pakuranga electorate as this does not follow natural divisions in the landscape.
Suggested solution Use natural boundaries, such as the Tamaki Estuary and Mangemangeroa Creek, for electorate boundaries.
- N17 044 *b* **Objection T C & W I Hickmott**
 Similar to N17/004
Suggested solution That the Pakuranga electorate include only the suburbs of Half Moon Bay, Pakuranga, Bucklands Beach and Howick.
- N17 045 *b* **Objection V D Robinson**
 Similar to N17/001
Suggested solution Similar to N17/003
- N17 046 *b* **Objection L A Smith**
 Similar to N17/001
Suggested solution Increase the population quota tolerance.
- N17 047 *b* **Objection M & B de Valk**
 Objects to the inclusion of the peninsula of Bucklands Beach and Eastern Beach in the proposed Pakuranga electorate. This area's community of interest lies with Howick.
Suggested solution Include this area in the Howick electorate.
- N17 048 *n* **Objection A Beyer**
 Objects to the name 'Pakuranga' for the proposed electorate. The name does not reflect the diversity of the electorate.
Suggested solution Rename the Pakuranga electorate 'Tamaki'. The current 'Tamaki' electorate could be renamed 'Kohimarama' or 'Eastern Bays'.
- N17 049 *b, n, h* **Objection R Northey, Councillor – Auckland City Council**
 Similar to N17/048
 Objects to the inclusion of Eastern Beach and surrounds in the proposed Pakuranga electorate and to the boundary with the Maungakiekie electorate that divides the suburb of Panmure.
Suggested solution Similar to N17/048
 Move the south-western boundary to Barrack Road, so that all of Panmure is included in the Pakuranga electorate. Move the north-western boundary to exclude Eastern Beach and possibly Bucklands Beach.

N17	050	<i>b</i>	Objection	Macleans College – Principal & Board of Trustees
				Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Pakuranga electorate as these divide the catchment zone for the school across more than one electorate.
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	051	<i>b</i>	Objection	R C & I Peters
				Similar to N17/005
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/044
N17	052	<i>b</i>	Objection	S M Schollum
				Objects to the inclusion of the area north of Point England Road in the proposed Pakuranga electorate as this leaves only one narrow road link for the area included in the proposed Tamaki electorate with the southern half of its electorate.
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Move the proposed boundary of West Tamaki Road south to Point England Road. This would also reunite the suburbs of Glen Innes and St Johns, which share a community of interest. To compensate for the increased population, Ellerslie could be placed in the Maungakiekie electorate.
N17	053	<i>n, h</i>	Objection	New Zealand Labour Party
				Similar to N17/048
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/048
N17	054	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	New Zealand Labour Party
				Objects to the boundary between the proposed Pakuranga and Howick electorates. Local community of interest is better represented by school enrolment zone boundaries than by the Manukau City Council Ward boundaries.
				<i>Suggested solution</i> As much as possible, run the boundary along secondary school enrolment zone boundaries, using the Pakuranga College boundary to the intersection of Botany and Cascades Roads.
N17	055	<i>b</i>	Objection	Sir B Curtis, Mayor – Manukau City Council
				Similar to N17/005
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N17	056	<i>b, n, h</i>	Objection	L J Boyle, Councillor – Auckland City Council
				Similar to N17/049
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/049
N17	057	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	New Zealand National Party – Northern Region
				Similar to N17/052
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/052

N17	058	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	M Williams, Chairman - Botany Community Board
				Similar to N17/005
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N17/003
N18			Howick	
N18	001	<i>b</i>	Objection	C & P Smith
				Supports the boundaries of the proposed Howick electorate, particularly the use of the Mangemangeroa Stream/Estuary as the eastern boundary.
				Does not support the submission of Manukau City Council (N17/028) that would split the Howick area to retain the current Pakuranga electorate boundaries, as this does not allow for the strong community of interest within Howick.
N18	002	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	New Zealand National Party – Northern Region
				Objects to the inclusion of the area to the west of Hutchinsons Road, Bleakhouse Road and Mellons Bay Road in the proposed Howick electorate. Topography and the school catchment boundaries of Pakuranga and Howick link this area to Pakuranga.
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Include this area in the Pakuranga electorate.
N19			Manukau East	
N19	001	<i>n</i>	Objection	B R Costley
				Objects to the name 'Manukau East' for the proposed electorate as the electorate is no longer in the east of Manukau City.
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Name the electorate 'Manukau' or 'Otara'
N19	002	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	B Mockridge
				Objects to the splitting of the Botany Ward of Manukau City Council across four electorates, and particularly to the inclusion of parts of the ward in the proposed Manukau East electorate.
				<i>Suggested solution</i> The Tamaki River should be used as a boundary. If the Pakuranga electorate retains its existing boundary, then most of the Botany Ward could be included in the Howick electorate. The Manukau East electorate could then include the whole of Otahuhu, and be renamed 'Otahuhu'.
N19	003	<i>b</i>	Objection	NZ Labour Party – Botany Downs Branch
				Supports the boundaries for the proposed Manukau East electorate.
N19	004	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	Manukau East Labour Electorate Committee
				Supports the majority of the boundaries of the proposed Manukau East electorate. However, would prefer the historic community of interest of Papatoetoe to be maintained by extending the boundary along the length of Portage Road.

- N19 005 *b, h* **Objection New Zealand National Party – Northern Region**
 Objects to the inclusion of the area between Te Irirangi Road and Chapel Road in the proposed Manukau East electorate. This area shares a strong community of interest with Howick and the rest of the Botany area.
Suggested solution Include this area in the Howick electorate.
- N19 006 *b, h* **Objection M Williams, Chairman - Botany Community Board**
 Similar to N19/002
Suggested solution Proposes a different approach to drafting electoral boundaries based around community of interest and topography. This would allow, for example:
- a 'Howick/Botany' electorate;
 - Glen Innes and Panmure to be in the Maungakiekie electorate;
 - Manurewa to be kept in the Manurewa electorate;
 - Otahuhu to be in the Papatoetoe electorate; and
 - Otara to be in a 'South Auckland' electorate.

N20 Mangere

- N20 001 *b* **Objection B R William**
 Objects to the inclusion of the area on the eastern side of Mangere Road and Buckland Road in the proposed Mangere electorate. This area's community of interest lies within the Manukau East electorate.
Suggested solution Extend the Manukau East electorate boundary along the eastern side of Mangere Road and the northern side of Buckland Road as far as Mangere.
- N20 002 *b* **Objection I McGechie**
 Objects to the proposed boundary between the Mangere and Manukau East electorates in the area around Kohuora Park.
Suggested solution Run the boundary with the Manukau East electorate along Portage Road between State Highway 20 and the North Island Main Trunk Line.
- N20 003 *b* **Board of Trustees, Kedgley Intermediate School**
 Similar to N20/002
Suggested solution Similar to N20/002
- N20 004 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**
 Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Mangere electorate.
Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Māngere'

- N20 005 *b* **Objection Papatoetoe Community Board**
 Similar to N20/002
Suggested solution Similar to N20/002
- N20 006 *b* **Objection M S Mathiasen**
 Similar to N20/002
 Objects to the division of Papatoetoe between two electorates.
Suggested solution Similar to N20/002.

N21 Manurewa

- N21 001 *b* **Objection G J Fryer**
 Objects to the inclusion of the Mission Heights subdivision in the proposed Manurewa electorate. Neighbouring areas are included in the Howick electorate, where this subdivision's community of interest also lies.
Suggested solution Include Mission Heights in the Howick electorate.
- N21 002 *b* **Objection S T & T-M Woodward**
 Similar to N21/001
Suggested solution Similar to N21/001
- N21 003 *b* **Objection A Carberry & 424 others**
 Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Manurewa electorate as they do not align with the primary community of interest of the Manurewa area, which is based on the suburbs of Manurewa, Clendon, Weymouth, Wattle Downs, Greenmeadows and Randwick Park.
Suggested solution Transfer Flat Bush and the rural areas around Murphys Road to the Howick electorate. Transfer Weymouth, Wattle Downs, Greenmeadows, Randwick Park and other residential areas north of the Papakura Creek and south of Weymouth Road from the Papakura electorate to the Manurewa electorate.
- N21 004 *b* **Objection G Cornwell**
 Objects to the inclusion of Manara Place in the proposed Manurewa electorate.
Suggested solution Include this street in either the Papakura or the Hunua electorate.
- N21 005 *b* **Objection I Marshall**
 Similar to N21/001
 Also objects to the inclusion of Regis Park, Toplands and Norwood in the proposed Manurewa electorate.
Suggested solution Include these areas in the Hunua electorate. To compensate, areas around Hingaia and Drury could be moved into the Manurewa electorate.

- N21 006 *b, h* **Objection W J F Marshall**
 Objects to the weight being placed on community of interest by other objectors.
Suggested solution Adopt the proposed boundaries.
- N21 007 *b* **Objection G J & T Ashby**
 Similar to N21/001
Suggested solution Similar to N21/001
- N21 008 *b, h* **Objection D J Forsyth**
 Similar to N21/001
Suggested solution Similar to N21/001
- N21 009 *b, h* **Objection F M Forsyth**
 Similar to N21/001
Suggested solution Similar to N21/001
- N21 010 *b* **Objection R J Bootle, JP**
 Objects to the inclusion of southern Botany in the proposed Manurewa electorate.
Suggested solution Include this area in an electorate with the rest of Botany and Howick.
- N21 011 *b* **Objection L Tupu & 129 others**
 Objects to the inclusion of the area bounded by Ormiston Road, Te Irirangi Drive, Hollyford Drive and Redoubt Road in the proposed Manurewa electorate. These areas share a community of interest with the rest of the Botany area.
Suggested solution Include this area in an electorate with the rest of Botany.
- N21 012 *b* **Objection R L Pease**
 Objects to the inclusion of the rural area around Tiffany Close in the proposed Manurewa electorate.
Suggested solution Include this area in the Hunua electorate by running the boundary along Mill Road down to the Redoubt Road extension.
- N21 013 *b, h* **Objection Manurewa Community Board**
 Similar to N21/003
 Also objects to the inclusion of the Flat Bush community and the area around Jeffs Road in the proposed Manurewa electorate, as they do not share a community of interest with the rest of the electorate.
Suggested solution Similar to N21/003
 Include these areas in the Papakura electorate.

N21 014 *b* **Objection D Nakhle**
Similar to N21/013
Suggested solution Similar to N21/013
To compensate, the area south of Weymouth Road could be included in the Manurewa electorate where its community of interest lies.

N22 Hunua

N22 001 *b* **Objection A Churchill**
Objects to the inclusion of Beachlands and Maraetai in the proposed Hunua electorate. These areas' community of interest lies with Howick, Botany and Manukau City, not with Pukekohe.
Suggested solution None given

N22 002 *b* **Objection N J & H A Wagstaff**
Similar to N22/001
Also notes that the hills south of Beachlands/Maraetai form a natural boundary with the rest of the Hunua electorate, and that these communities have different telephone services to that electorate.
Suggested solution Include Beachlands/Maraetai in the Howick electorate.

N22 003 *n* **Objection P & S Baker**
Objects to the name 'Hunua' for the proposed electorate. The proposed boundaries are very similar to the historic 'Franklin' electorate.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Franklin'

N22 004 *b, h* **Objection J S Robertson, Mayor – Papakura District Council**
Objects to the inclusion of the Hingaia region in the proposed Hunua electorate as its community of interest lies with the Papakura electorate.
Suggested solution Include Hingaia in the Papakura electorate.

N22 005 *b* **Objection L & J Kemp**
Similar to N22/001
Suggested solution Similar to N22/001

N22 006 *b, n* **Objection D M H Senn**
Similar to N22/003 and N22/004
Suggested solution Similar to N22/003 and N22/004

- N22 007 *b, n* **Objection A J A Cooper**
 Similar to N22/001 and N22/003.
 Also objects to the positioning of the southern boundary of the electorate.
Suggested solution Similar to N22/003.
 Use the Waikato River as a boundary from its mouth to Mercer. Then follow the southern boundary of Franklin District to the eastern coast.
- N22 008 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**
 Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Hunua electorate.
Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Hūnua'.
- N22 009 *b, h* **Objection B Mockridge**
 Objects to the inclusion of the Clevedon Ward of Manukau City in the proposed Hunua electorate. This area's community of interest lies with Papakura. Also, transport and communication facilities link this area with Papakura rather than Pukekohe.
Suggested solution Move the Ward into the Papakura electorate. Rosehill, Opaheke, Papakura South, Red Hill and part of Drury could be moved into the Hunua electorate. Areas south of Weymouth Road could return to the Manurewa electorate.
- N22 010 *b* **Objection D Rose**
 Similar to N22/001
Suggested solution Similar to N22/002
- N22 011 *b, h* **Objection Manukau City Council**
 Similar to N22/001
Suggested solution Similar to N22/002
 Set the electoral boundary for the Howick electorate at a point within the Flat Bush area that gives the appropriate population.
- N22 012 *b, h* **Objection I W McDougall**
 Similar to N22/004
Suggested solution Similar to N22/004
- N22 013 *b* **Objection G A Leggat**
 Similar to N22/001
Suggested solution Run the northern boundary of the Hunua electorate along Jeffs Road, across to Ormiston Road, then north east to Sandstone Road and Whitford Park Road, south to Ara-Kotinga Road, then east to follow the line of hills to the east of Maraetai.

- N22 014 *b, h* **Objection Pohutukawa Coast Community Association Inc**
 Similar to N22/001
Suggested solution Similar to N22/002
 To balance population quotas, East Tamaki Heights could be moved into either the Manukau East or the Manurewa electorate.
- N22 015 *b* **Objection B Twigden**
 Objects to the inclusion of Whitford in the proposed Hunua electorate. This area's community of interest and communication facilities are shared with Howick.
Suggested solution Include Whitford in the Howick electorate.
- N22 016 *n* **Objection N Morris**
 Similar to N22/003
Suggested solution Similar to N22/003. Details the historic significance of the name 'Franklin' for the area included in the electorate boundaries.
- N22 017 *b, h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
 Objects to the inclusion of the Turanga census area unit, encompassing the Whitford area, in the proposed Hunua electorate. This area's community of interest lies with the Howick electorate.
Suggested solution Include the whole of the Turanga census area unit in the Howick electorate.

N23 Papakura

- N23 001 *b, h* **Objection Manukau City Council**
 Objects to the inclusion of the Wattle Downs/Weymouth area in the proposed Papakura electorate. This area's community of interest and communication facilities lie with the Manurewa electorate.
 Also notes that the Pahurehure Inlet and Papakura Stream form a natural boundary between Manurewa and Papakura.
Suggested solution Include Wattle Downs, Weymouth and the entirety of Clendon in the Manurewa electorate. The northern boundary of the Papakura electorate should be the Pahurehure Inlet and Papakura Stream. Retain the Gardens area in the Manurewa electorate. If needed for population quota, the Goodwood Heights/Jeffs Road area could be moved from the Manurewa electorate.
- N23 002 *n* **Objection A Beyer**
 Objects to the name 'Papakura' for the proposed electorate. The proposed electorate includes a number of longstanding, distinctive communities, not all of whom have ties to Papakura.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Takanini'.

- N23 003 *n, h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
 Similar to N23/002
Suggested solution Similar to N23/002
- N23 004 *b, h* **Objection Manurewa Community Board**
 Similar to N23/001
Suggested solution Move this area into the Manurewa electorate, using the Papakura Stream as a natural boundary. To compensate, the electorate's northern boundary could be moved to include suburbs to the east of Mill Road, including Flat Bush.

N24 Piako

- N24 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**
 Objects to the name 'Piako' for the proposed electorate, as the name does not reflect the communities included in the north of the electorate.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Waikato'
- N24 002 *n* **Objection S & T Stark**
 Similar to N24/001
Suggested solution Similar to N24/001
- N24 003 *n* **Objection M L Gribble**
 Similar to N24/001
Suggested solution Similar to N24/001
- N24 004 *n, h* **Objection M Viggers**
 Similar to N24/001
Suggested solution Similar to N24/001
- N24 005 *n* **Objection D L Boyde**
 Similar to N24/001
Suggested solution Similar to N24/001
- N24 006 *n* **Objection Paul**
 Similar to N24/001
Suggested solution Similar to N24/001
- N24 007 *n* **Objection R R Sherlock**
 Similar to N24/001
Suggested solution Similar to N24/001
- N24 008 *n* **Objection P A Jupp**
 Similar to N24/001
Suggested solution Similar to N24/001

- N24 009 n **Objection S D Lalich**
 Similar to N24/001
Suggested solution Similar to N24/001
- N24 010 b, h **Objection H Mitchell**
 Objects to the inclusion of parts of Paparimu in the proposed Piako electorate. Paparimu shares a community of interest and communication facilities with Papakura and Pukekohe.
Suggested solution Use the Upper Mangatawhiri Reservoir and the Mangatawhiri River as a boundary westward to Medway Road.
- N24 011 b, h **Objection J Lawson**
 Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Whaingaroa ward in the proposed Piako electorate. The Whaingaroa Harbour area, including Raglan, shares a community of interest and should not be split.
Suggested solution Include the entirety of the Whaingaroa ward in the Taranaki-King Country electorate.
- N24 012 b, h **Objection I W McDougall**
 Objects to the inclusion of Tuakau and the surrounding area in the proposed Piako electorate. This area's community of interest and communication facilities lie with Pukekohe in the Hunua electorate.
Suggested solution Include this area in the Hunua electorate.
- N24 013 b, h **Objection T G Follows**
 Objects to the inclusion of the area around Newell Road in the proposed Piako electorate. This area shares its communication facilities, including roads, and community of interest with Hamilton.
Suggested solution Include this area in the Hamilton East electorate. The boundary could be run down State Highway 1, along Airport Road and up the Waikato River.

N25 Hamilton West

- N25 001 b, h **Objection S W Wood, JP**
 Objects to the inclusion of the rural area to the west of Hamilton City in the proposed Hamilton West electorate. This area shares a community of interest with the rural areas of the Taranaki-King Country electorate, rather than the urban areas of the Hamilton West electorate.
Suggested solution Use the Hamilton City Council boundary as the boundary for the electorate. Include Whatawhata, Te Kowhai and surrounds in the Taranaki-King Country electorate.
- N25 002 b, h **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
 Similar to N25/001
Suggested solution Similar to N25/001

N27

Coromandel

N27 001 *b, h*

Objection T L Turner

Supports the boundary for the proposed Coromandel electorate, as it is aligned with the traditional Maori boundary from Te Aroha to Moehau.

N29

Bay of Plenty

N29 001 *b*

Objection B R Costley

Objects to the proposed Bay of Plenty electorate, as the boundary with Tauranga splits a community of interest.

Suggested solution Redraw the Tauranga and Bay of Plenty electorates into an east-west division. One electorate could be based on Tauranga-Otumoetai-Matakana and the other on Mt Maunganui-Welcome Bay-Papamoa. The names 'Tauranga East' and 'Tauranga West' could be used.

N29 002 *b, h*

Objection S Russell

Objects the name 'Bay of Plenty' for the proposed electorate. While the name was appropriate for the electorate when it was first established, its boundaries no longer include the eastern and central parts of the Bay of Plenty and the name is no longer appropriate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Western Bay of Plenty'.

N30

Rotorua

N30 001 *b*

Objection L M Scott

Objects to the inclusion of the Kaimai district, south of State Highway 29 and including Omanawa and McLaren Falls, in the proposed Rotorua electorate. This area shares a strong community of interest and communication facilities, including roads, with the Western Bay of Plenty.

Suggested solution Include the Kaimai district in the Bay of Plenty electorate. If necessary, other areas, such as Maketu, would be more appropriate to move.

- N30 002 *b, h* **Objection S Russell**
 Similar to N30/001
 Also objects to the inclusion of the Matahina-Minginui and Murupara areas, as these share a community of interest with the rest of the Whakatane District in the East Coast electorate.
Suggested solution Run the boundary between the Rotorua and Bay of Plenty electorates along the natural boundary from the Mamaku plateau east to State Highway 36 (Pyes Pa Road), north to Te Rerenga stream and downstream to the Kaituna River.
 Transfer the Matahina-Minginui and Murupara areas to the East Coast electorate.
- N30 003 *b* **Objection G N & J G Kehely**
 Objects to the inclusion of part of the community of Oropi in the proposed Roturua electorate. Two steep gorges separate this area from the rest of the electorate and there is no shared community of interest.
Suggested solution Use the gorge on the Mangorewa River to Maungatoi Road then onto No 3 Road as the boundary.
- N30 004 *b* **Objection P G & R Allan**
 Similar to N30/003
Suggested solution Similar to N30/003
- N30 005 *b* **Objection F Kehely**
 Similar to N30/003
Suggested solution Similar to N30/003
- N30 006 *b* **Objection S R Kehely**
 Similar to N30/003
Suggested solution Similar to N30/003

N31

Taupo

- N31 001 *n* **Objection S J Hill**
 Objects to the name 'Taupo' for the proposed electorate. While Lake Taupo may be the dominant feature of the electorate, the name is not representative of those areas now included in the north of the electorate, such as Cambridge.
Suggested solution Use a name such as 'South Waikato-King Country' or 'Waikato-King Country', or explore options that reflect the Central North Island.
- N31 002 *b* **Objection Major (Rtd) D W M Gay M.C.**
 Objects to the inclusion of Cambridge in the proposed Taupo electorate as the size of the proposed electorate would make it difficult for an MP to represent it effectively.
Suggested solution None given

N31 003 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**
Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Taupo electorate.
Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Taupō'

N32 Taranaki - King Country

N32 001 *b, h* **Objection G M Hockly**
Objects to the inclusion of areas of the Waikato in a Taranaki electorate.
Suggested solution Instead of the Taranaki-King Country and Taupo electorates having a north-south shape, re-orient these electorates to have an east-west shape, making a South Waikato electorate and a Taranaki-Taupo electorate.

N32 002 *n* **Objection B R Costley**
Objects to the name 'Taranaki-King Country' for the proposed electorate, as much of the Taranaki region is no longer included.
Suggested solution Change the name to 'King Country' or 'Waitomo'.

N32 003 *b* **Objection Ruapehu District Council**
Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Ruapehu District in the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate. The District has a unique community of interest and should not be divided.
Suggested solution Place all of the Ruapehu District in the Rangitikei electorate.

N32 004 *b* **Objection J Ritchie**
Objects to the inclusion of Raglan in the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate, as its community of interest lies with Huntly and Ngaruawahia in the Piako electorate.
Suggested solution Retain the existing boundary.

N32 005 *b, h* **Objection J Lawson**
Objects to the geographic size of the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate. Also objects to the splitting off of Raglan from other areas south of Whainagaroa Harbour with which it shares a community of interest.
Suggested solution Balance population quotas in the electorates from the Waikato to the Rangitikei by moving part of the Taranaki-King Country electorate into the New Plymouth electorate, southern parts of the Taupo electorate into the Taranaki-King Country and Rangitikei electorates, Matamata into the Taupo electorate and the Raglan and Whaingaroa Wards into the Piako electorate.
or
Include all of the Whaingaroa Ward in the Taranaki-King Country electorate.

N32 006 *b* **Objection New Plymouth District Council, Stratford District Council, South Taranaki District Council and Taranaki Regional Council**

Similar to N32/001

The councils object to the boundaries of the proposed Taranaki-King Country electorate as they do not acknowledge the strong identity and shared community of interest of the Taranaki District. There is no shared community of interest between the areas of Waikato included in the electorate and the Taranaki District.

Suggested solution Rather than split the Taranaki District into two electorates, establish a Taranaki electorate with boundaries along the local government boundaries of the South Taranaki, Stratford and New Plymouth districts. The remainder of the proposed electorate could be included in a Taupo-Waitomo electorate.

N32 007 *b, h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**

Similar to N32/004

Suggested solution Place Raglan in the Piako electorate. To compensate, move Mangakino into the Taranaki - King Country electorate from the Taupo electorate.

N34 Whanganui

N34 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**

Objects to the name 'Whanganui' for the proposed electorate, as it now includes the entire South Taranaki district.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Waitotara'.

N34 002 *b* **Objection New Plymouth District Council, Stratford District Council, South Taranaki District Council and Taranaki Regional Council**

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Taranaki District in the proposed Whanganui electorate. The Taranaki District has a strong identity and shared community of interest which it does not share with the Whanganui electorate.

Suggested solution Rather than split the Taranaki District into two electorates, establish a Taranaki electorate with boundaries along the local government boundaries of the South Taranaki, Stratford and New Plymouth districts. To compensate, a new Whanganui-King Country electorate could be established and the remainder of the current Taranaki King-Country electorate included in a Taupo-Waitomo electorate.

N35

East Coast

- N35 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**
Objects to the name 'East Coast' for the proposed electorate, as the electorate contains much more than just the eastern coast of the North Island.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Eastland' or 'Waiapu'.
- N35 002 *b, h* **Objection P Hickson**
Objects to the inclusion of parts of the western Bay of Plenty in the proposed East Coast electorate. Their community of interest lies with the rest of the Bay of Plenty.
Suggested solution Redraw adjacent boundaries to keep the Bay of Plenty together.
- N35 003 *b* **Objection S A Moscrip**
Similar to N35/002
Suggested solution Include Whakatane and Maketu in the Bay of Plenty electorate. If the East Coast electorate requires more population, this could come from the south.
- N35 004 *b, h* **Objection S Russell**
Similar to N35/002
Suggested solution That areas within the Western Bay of Plenty District that are proposed to be included in the East Coast electorate instead be included in the Rotorua electorate.
- N35 005 *b* **Objection J P Hindmarsh**
Objects to the boundaries of the proposed East Coast electorate. Communication facilities, community of interest and topography are all disrupted by the proposed boundaries.
Suggested solution Review the boundaries along the East Coast of the North Island. The boundary between Wairarapa and Hawke's Bay should follow the Akitio River. Wairoa should be included in the East Coast electorate.
- N35 006 *b, h* **Objection E H White**
Similar to N35/005
Suggested solution Similar to N35/005
- N35 007 *b* **Objection A A Ross**
Objects to the inclusion of the area west of Opotiki in the proposed East Coast electorate. The proposed boundary shifts the centre of the electorate away from its focal point of Gisborne. Communication facilities and topography will make the electorate difficult for an MP to effectively represent.
Suggested solution Amend the boundaries to include Wairoa and exclude the area west of Opotiki.

N36

Napier

- N36 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**
Objects to the name 'Napier' for the proposed electorate, as the electorate is more than the city area.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Hawke's Bay'.
- N36 002 *b* **Objection A Stevens**
Objects to areas north of the Wharerata Ranges being included in the proposed Napier electorate as their community of interest lies with Gisborne and the East Coast.
Suggested solution The Wharerata Ranges form a natural boundary.
- N36 003 *b* **Objection Gisborne Chamber of Commerce**
Objects to the inclusion of the southern Gisborne District (the Cook Ward) in the proposed Napier electorate. This area shares a strong community of interest with the East Coast electorate.
Suggested solution Include this area in the East Coast electorate.
- N36 004 *b, h* **Objection L F Hall**
Similar to N36/003
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 005 *b* **Objection S B Wallis**
Objects to the inclusion of part of the Poverty Bay Flats region in the proposed Napier electorate. This region forms the heart of the East Coast and should be included in its entirety in the East Coast electorate.
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 006 *b, h* **Objection R J Prebble**
Similar to N36/005
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 007 *b* **Objection N R Shanks**
Objects to the use of the Waipaoa River as the northern boundary of the proposed Napier electorate.
Suggested solution Use the Mohaka River instead.
- N36 008 *b* **Objection D P Playle**
Objects to the inclusion of the Tiniroto District in the proposed Napier electorate. This area's community of interest lies with Gisborne, not Napier.
Suggested solution Include the Tiniroto District in the East Coast electorate.

N36	009	<i>b</i>	Objection	A M Cave	Objects to the inclusion of Ngatapa in the proposed Napier electorate. <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	010	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	D Kirkpatrick	Similar to N36/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	011	<i>b</i>	Objection	E F Hall	Similar to N36/008 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	012	<i>b</i>	Objection	D S McDiarmid	Similar to N36/008 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	013	<i>b</i>	Objection	G H McDiarmid	Similar to N36/008 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/002
N36	014	<i>b</i>	Objection	D M Newman	Similar to N36/003 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	015	<i>b</i>	Objection	A Bethell	Similar to N36/003 <i>Suggested solution</i> None given
N36	016	<i>b</i>	Objection	B Law	Similar to N36/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	017	<i>b</i>	Objection	W Stevens	Objects to the inclusion of Patutahi in the proposed Napier electorate when its community of interest lies with Gisborne. <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	018	<i>b</i>	Objection	J A Roberts	Similar to N36/017 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	019	<i>b</i>	Objection	A C Williams	Similar to N36/005 <i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003

- N36 020 *b* **Objection A Newman**
 Similar to N36/003
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 021 *b* **Objection T W Newman**
 Similar to N36/003
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 022 *b* **Objection L Newman**
 Similar to N36/003
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
 Wairoa could be included in the Napier electorate. This electorate could also include Waipukurau, as its community of interest lies with the Hawke's Bay not Wairarapa.
- N36 023 *b* **Objection W Newman**
 Similar to N36/003
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 024 *b* **Objection B Kirkpatrick**
 Similar to N36/017
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 025 *b, h* **Objection J A Kirkpatrick**
 Similar to N36/017
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 026 *b, h* **Objection S Russell**
 Similar to N36/003
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003. Notes that the boundary between the Gisborne and Wairoa Districts is also the boundary between the Hawke's Bay and Gisborne regions.
- N36 027 *b, h* **Objection H Kirkpatrick**
 Similar to N36/003
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 028 *b, h* **Objection D Kirkpatrick**
 Similar to N36/003
Suggested solution Similar to N36/003
- N36 029 *b* **Objection A Milton-Tee**
 Similar to N36/005
Suggested solution None given

N36	030	<i>b</i>	Objection	S M A McDowell
				Similar to N36/003
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	031	<i>b</i>	Objection	H McDowell
				Similar to N36/003
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	032	<i>b</i>	Objection	W Barclay
				Similar to N36/003
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	033	<i>b</i>	Objection	N R Barclay
				Similar to N36/003
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	034	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	J T Williams
				Similar to N36/002
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/002
N36	035	<i>b</i>	Objection	H F Williams
				Similar to N36/002
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/002
N36	036	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	C Bauld, Councillor – Gisborne District Council, elected member – Tairāwhiti District Health board
				Similar to N36/003
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
				Increase the population quota tolerance.
N36	037	<i>b</i>	Objection	J E Sewell
				Similar to N36/003
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003
N36	038	<i>b</i>	Objection	M Mackey, MP
				Objects to the inclusion of Wairoa and surrounds in the proposed Napier electorate. This area shares a community of interest and transport links with the East Coast.
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Ideally, include Wairoa and all the Gisborne District in the East Coast electorate. At the least, align the electorate boundary with the Gisborne District Council Boundary.
N36	039	<i>b, h</i>	Objection	Gisborne District Council
				Similar to N36/003
				<i>Suggested solution</i> Similar to N36/003

N37

Tukituki

N37 001 *b, n* **Objection J Haydon-Carr, Central Region Representative – Young Labour Executive**

Supports the proposed boundary for the Tukituki electorate.

While sympathetic to the concerns of areas such as Waipukurau, believes that the Wairarapa electorate will effectively represent their interests.

Also supports the name “Tukituki” for the proposed electorate as it reflects the shared identity of communities within the electorate.

N37 002 *b, n* **Objection Hon Rick Barker, MP**

Supports the proposed boundary for the Tukituki electorate.

Regrets the division of the Central Hawke’s Bay District across two electorates, but notes that this area and Wairarapa have shared an electorate previously.

Objects to the name ‘Tukituki’ for the proposed electorate. The Tukituki River is not recognised as part of the regional identity.

Suggested solution Name the electorate “Hastings”, as it was previously.

N38

Wairarapa

N38 001 *b* **Objection N T Ashby**

Objects to the inclusion of Waipukurau in the proposed Wairarapa electorate. This separates Waipukurau from Waipawa with which it shares a community of interest.

Suggested solution Waipukurau and Waipawa are both placed in the same electorate. While ideally Waipukurau would return to the Tukituki electorate, population quotas may require Waipawa to move to the Wairarapa electorate.

N38 002 *n* **Objection J M Harris**

Objects to the name ‘Wairarapa’ for the proposed electorate as it is not representative of those areas now included in the north of the electorate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate ‘Wairarapa-Bush’ after the Seventy-Mile Bush that ran from Takapau to Kopuaranga.

N38 003 *b* **Objection J D Sanderson**

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Central Hawke’s Bay in the proposed Wairarapa electorate. This region shares a strong community of interest and should not be split across two electorates.

Suggested solution Move the boundary of the Tukituki electorate down to south of Takapau.

- N38 004 *b, h* **Objection Central Hawke's Bay District Council**
 Similar to N38/001 and N38/003
Suggested solution Include all of the Central Hawke's Bay in one electorate.
- N38 005 *b* **Objection A J McMillan**
 Similar to N38/001
Suggested solution Place Waipawa and Waipukurau townships in the same electorate.
- N38 006 *b* **Objection H C Wright**
 Similar to N38/001
Suggested solution None given
- N38 007 *b* **Objection P M Hudson**
 Similar to N38/001
Suggested solution Return the Waipukurau area to the Tukituki electorate.
- N38 008 *b* **Objection J B Wright**
 Similar to N38/001
Suggested solution None given
- N38 009 *b* **Objection C & G Thompson**
 Similar to N38/001
 Notes that the geographic size of the proposed electorate will make it difficult for an MP to represent it effectively.
Suggested solution Place all of Central Hawke's Bay District in the Tukituki electorate and all of the Tararua District in the Wairarapa electorate.
 or
 Retain the current boundary.
- N38 010 *b* **Objection G Burnside**
 Similar to N38/009
Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.
- N38 011 *n* **Objection Eketahuna Community Board**
 Similar to N38/002.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Tararua Ruahine East' or 'Tararua Ruahine Eastern Range District'.

N39

Rangitikei

N39 001 *n*

Objection Ruapehu District Council

Objects to the name Rangitikei for the proposed electorate, as it does not reflect the inclusion of the Ruapehu District in the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Ruapehu-Rangitikei'.

N40

Palmerston North

N40 001 *b*

Objection M G Natoli

Objects to Terrace End and Roslyn being placed in the same electorate.

Suggested solution Retain current boundary.

N41

Otaki

N41 001 *n*

Objection G R Seymour

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Otaki electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Ōtaki'.

N42

Mana

N42 001 *b*

Objection M R Henderson & 2 others

Objects to the boundary between the proposed electorates of Mana and Ohariu running down the centreline of residential suburban streets. This divides people who share a community of interest.

Suggested solution Redraw the boundary to pass through neighbouring areas of low or no residential population density. Two mapped options provided.

N42 002 *b, h*

Objection E M Henderson

Similar to N42/001

Suggested solution Similar to N42/001

N42 003 *b*

Objection D J M Perry

Objects to the inclusion of parts of Tawa in the proposed Mana electorate, as this splits the community of interest of the suburb.

Suggested solution Use the boundary between Porirua and Wellington cities as the electorate boundary.

N43

Rimutaka

- N43 001 *n, h* **Objection** **New Zealand Labour Party**
Objects to the name 'Rimutaka' for the proposed electorate as the name is only relevant for the northern part of the electorate and has negative associations with the name of Rimutaka Prison.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Hutt North'
- N43 002 *b, h* **Objection** **New Zealand Labour Party**
Objects to the inclusion of Belmont in the proposed Rimutaka electorate. This area shares a community of interest and transport links with the Hutt South electorate.
Also objects to the shift of the southern boundary at Naenae to Wingate.
Suggested solution Include Belmont in the Hutt South electorate.
Shift the current Rimutaka southern boundary to include only that part of Naenae north of Rata Street.
- N43 003 *b* **Objection** **B C Paterson**
Similar to N43/002
Belmont shares a community of interest, as well as geographic and transport links, with the rest of the Western Hill suburbs in the Ohariu electorate
Suggested solution Include Belmont in the Ohariu electorate.
or
Place all of the Western Hill suburbs in one Hutt-based electorate.
- N43 004 *b* **Objection** **Hutt City Council**
Similar to N43/002
Suggested solution Similar to N43/002
Ideally, include all of the Western Hills in the Hutt South electorate and all of Naenae in the Rimutaka electorate.

N45

Ohariu

- N45 001 *n* **Objection** **B R Costley**
Objects to the name 'Ohariu' for the proposed electorate.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Onslow'.
- N45 002 *b* **Objection** **K McGavin**
Objects to the inclusion of the Western Hutt hills in the proposed Ohariu electorate. This area's community of interest lies with the rest of the Hutt.
Suggested solution Include the area in the Hutt South electorate.

N45 003 *b* **Objection S Fitzjohn**
Similar to N45/002
Suggested solution Similar to N45/002

N45 004 *b* **Objection P A Stratton**
Similar to N45/002
Suggested solution Similar to N45/002

S01 Nelson

S01 001 *b* **Objection R A Merrilees**
Objects to the southern boundary of the proposed Nelson electorate. It does not future-proof this electorate or the proposed West-Coast Tasman electorate for projected population changes in the region.
Suggested solution Run the boundary along the Waimea, Wairoa and Roding rivers or along the urban expansion limit of Richmond.

S02 West Coast - Tasman

S02 001 *b* **Objection M Eames**
Objects to the inclusion of Wakefield in the proposed West Coast-Tasman electorate. Wakefield's community of interest and geography both align it with Nelson.
Suggested solution Include Wakefield in the Nelson electorate. Amend the Electoral Act to place more weight on geographic area.

S02 002 *b* **Objection G U France**
Similar to S02/001
Suggested solution Include Wakefield in the Nelson electorate. If the West Coast-Tasman electorate requires more population this could be taken from its southern boundary around Wanaka.

S02 003 *b, h* **Objection T E France**
Similar to S02/001
Notes that the inclusion of Wakefield in the proposed West Coast-Tasman electorate will make it even more difficult for an MP to represent it effectively.
Suggested solution Include Wakefield in the Nelson electorate.

S02 004 *b* **Objection S A Dearing**
Similar to S02/003
Notes that the population growth of the West Coast-Tasman electorate may be higher than projected as major subdivisions are planned for the Motueka and Mapua areas, whereas the Nelson electorate has little room left for major development and its projected population growth is minimal.
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003

- S02 005 *b* **Objection J L Dearing, JP**
 Similar to S02/003
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003
- S02 006 *b* **Objection M L Griffin**
 Similar to S02/001
Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.
- S02 007 *b, h* **Objection G M Hope**
 Similar to S02/001
 Also objects on the grounds of communication facilities, which are all Nelson-focused, and topographical features, as the Spooner Range forms a natural boundary.
Suggested solution The West Coast-Tasman electorate should include Karamea, Murchison to Hanmer Springs, Springfield and south to Haast, with neighbouring boundaries adjusted as necessary.
- S02 008 *b* **Objection M Stokes**
 Similar to S02/003
Suggested solution Use the Spooner Range as the northern boundary of the electorate. Include Wanaka if needed for population quotas.
- S02 009 *b, h* **Objection Wakefield Community Council**
 Similar to S02/007
Suggested solution Use the Spooner Range as the northern boundary of the electorate.
- S02 010 *b, h* **Objection J C Ironside**
 Similar to S02/001
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003
- S02 011 *b, h* **Objection M Higgins**
 Similar to S02/001
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003
 Review the population quota tolerances to allow more flexibility.
- S02 012 *b* **Objection A Talbot-Price & 537 others**
 Similar to S02/001
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003
- S02 013 *b* **Objection A J Eames**
 Similar to S02/001
Suggested solution Similar to S02/003 and S02/009

S02 014 *b, h* **Objection Wakefield Public Meeting Action Committee**
Similar to S02/001
Suggested solution Similar to S02/008

S03 Kaikoura

S03 001 *b* **Objection W Stafford**
Notes the increase of population in the Marlborough region.

S03 002 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**
Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Kaikoura electorate.
Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Kaikōura'

S04 Waimakariri

S04 001 *b, h* **Objection A McRobie**
Objects to the inclusion of the area on the north side of Winters Road in the proposed Waimakariri electorate. This area relies on Queen Elizabeth II Drive and Frasers Street for transport access and has a community of interest with the area to the south of Winters Road.
Suggested solution Include this area in the Christchurch Central electorate.

S04 002 *b, n, h* **Objection S Russell**
Objects to the inclusion of Rangiora and the rural area to the west of the town in the proposed Waimakariri electorate. These rural areas do not share a community of interest with the rest of the urban Waimakariri electorate.
Also objects to the name 'Waimakariri' for the proposed electorate.
Suggested solution Include this area in the Selwyn electorate. The whole of the Papanui area could then be included in this electorate along with the Styx and that part of the Travis Wetland Area unit that is north of Mairehau Road.
Name the electorate 'Christchurch North'.

S07 Christchurch Central

S07 001 *b* **Objection J Thornton, Registrar of Electors – Waimakariri**
Objects to the proposed boundary with Waimakariri electorate along Main North Road and Winters Road, as it is confusing and unclear.
Suggested solution That the boundary between Main North Road and Queen Elizabeth II Drive goes straight along Winters Road.

- S07 002 *b, h* **Objection D Pyatt, Principal – Papanui High School**
 Objects to the inclusion of Papanui High School and the surrounding area in the proposed Christchurch Central electorate. This area shares a strong community of interest with the proposed Waimakariri electorate.
Suggested solution Retain the existing boundary along Main North Road and Harewood Road.
- S07 003 *b* **Objection C & J Payne**
 Objects to the area around Hoani Street, Papanui being included in the proposed Christchurch Central electorate.
Suggested solution Similar to S07/002
- S07 004 *b, h* **Objection P C Berry – Papanui Beautifying Association**
 Similar to S07/002
Suggested solution Similar to S07/002
- S07 005 *b, h* **Objection S Russell**
 Similar to S07/002
 The whole Papanui area should be included in one electorate.
Suggested solution Run the boundary along Papanui Road, Innes Road, Cranford Street and Philpotts Road.
- S07 006 *b* **Objection H Burrows**
 Similar to S07/002
Suggested solution None given
- S07 007 *b, h* **Objection R A & M M Mannall**
 Similar to S07/002
Suggested solution Keep all of Papanui in the Waimakariri electorate.
- S07 008 *b* **Objection T Davie**
 Objects to the boundary between the proposed Christchurch Central and Selwyn electorates running down the centre of Greers Road. This is a suburban street whose residents share a community of interest with the rest of Papanui in the Waimakariri electorate.
Suggested solution Do not use Greers Road as a boundary.
- S07 009 *b, h* **Objection M Barry & 190 others**
 Similar to S07/005
 Papanui has a longstanding community of interest and should not be split across several electorates.
Suggested solution Similar to S07/007
- S07 010 *b, h* **Objection G Condon, Councillor – Shirley-Papanui Ward**
 Similar to S07/009
Suggested solution Similar to S07/007

- S07 011 *b, h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
 Objects to the boundary of the proposed Christchurch Central electorate in the area of Brougham Street and Ensors Road, as the boundary follows no consistent path.
Suggested solution Run the boundary along the railway line or Brougham street or align it with the former Christchurch City Council Ward boundary.
- S07 012 *b, h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
 Objects to the inclusion of Fraser and Wiremu Streets in the proposed Christchurch Central electorate, as they are separated from their community of interest.
Suggested solution Run the boundary along the centre of Cranford Street southeast from the intersection with Main North Road for 0.7km and then diagonally north east to Winters Road.
- S07 013 *b, h* **Objection Shirley Papanui Community Board**
 Similar to S07/005
Suggested solution Retain the current Waimakariri boundary.

S08 Christchurch East

- S08 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**
 Objects to the name 'Christchurch East' for the proposed electorate, as the area covered is predominantly northeast Christchurch.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Pegasus', as the proposed electorate is a coastal area facing Pegasus Bay.
- S08 002 *n, h* **Objection A W Gilmore**
 Similar to S08/001
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Burwood-Pegasus', 'Burwood' or 'Parklands'.

S09 **Banks Peninsula**

S09 001 *b, n, h* **Objection** **S Russell**

Objects to the inclusion of the suburbs of Sydenham, Bromley, Beckenham and Woolston in the proposed Banks Peninsula electorate, as these suburbs have a different community of interest to the electorate's core of Cashmere and Opawa.

Also objects to the inclusion of rural parts of Banks Peninsula, including Akaroa and Okains Bay, in this otherwise urban electorate.

Objects to the name 'Banks Peninsula' for the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Retain the existing southern boundaries of the Christchurch Central and Christchurch East electorates.

Transfer the rural areas, such as Akaroa, to the rural Selwyn electorate.

Name the electorate 'Port Hills'.

S10 **Selwyn**

S10 001 *b* **Objection** **R E Fuller**

Objects to the inclusion of the suburb of Westmorland in the proposed Selwyn electorate. The majority of the electorate is rural in nature and does not share a community of interest with this urban area, which aligns itself with Christchurch City.

Suggested solution Include the Westmorland area within one of the Christchurch City electorates.

S10 002 *b, h* **Objection** **A McRobie**

Objects to the splitting of the suburbs of Bishopdale, Casebrook, Papanui, Northcote and Redwood across the proposed Selwyn and Waimakariri electorates. These areas have a distinct, coherent community of interest and should be placed in an electorate together.

Suggested solution Include these suburbs in the Waimakariri electorate. This would also help Selwyn stay within quota, given its projected population growth.

S10 003 *b, h* **Objection** **G D Barber**

Similar to S10/002

Suggested solution Similar to S10/002

S10 004 *b* **Objection** **Casebrook Intermediate School**

Similar to S10/002

Suggested solution Similar to S10/002

- S10 005 *b, h* **Objection M M Barry, Member – Shirley-Papanui Community Board**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Use population from the rural, northern part of the Waimakariri electorate to increase the population of the Selwyn electorate.
- S10 006 *b, h* **Objection P G McMahon**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/002
- S10 007 *b, h* **Objection C M Holmes QSM, JP**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/002
- S10 008 *b, h* **Objection P C Berry – Papanui Beautifying Association**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/002
- S10 009 *b, h* **Objection S Russell**
Similar to S10/001 and S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/001, S10/002 and S10/005
- S10 010 *b* **Objection S & E Evans**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/002
- S10 011 *b, h* **Objection J C Mildenhall QSM**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/002
- S10 012 *b, h* **Objection L J Harris**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/002
- S10 013 *b, h* **Objection C R Stewart**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/002
- S10 014 *b, h* **Objection G Condon, Councillor – Shirley-Papanui Ward**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Similar to S10/002

S10 015 *b, h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Use the current Rakaia-Waimakariri boundary from Russley and Harewood Roads north east along Waimakariri Road and then north along Sayers Arms Road.

S10 016 *b, h* **Objection Shirley Papanui Community Board**
Similar to S10/002
Suggested solution Retain the current Waimakariri boundary.

S12 Waitaki

S12 001 *b, h* **Objection J N Jolly**
Objects to the inclusion of Geraldine in the proposed Waitaki electorate as its community of interest lies with Temuka, Winchester, Orari, Rangitata, Arundel and Peel Forest, all of which are included in the proposed Rangitata electorate.
Also objects to Central Otago and South Canterbury being placed in the same electorate, as they share no community of interest or geographical similarity.
Suggested solution Electorates should be based around major population centres such as Christchurch, Dunedin and Invercargill. The proposed Dunedin North and Dunedin South electorates could be combined into one Dunedin electorate, with surplus population used to complete a northern Southland/South Otago electorate and a Central/North Otago electorate. The existing Aoraki electorate boundaries could then be adjusted to retain Timaru as its population centre and focus.

S12 002 *b* **Objection R Aukens**
Objects to being included in an electorate that covers more than one province on the grounds of community of interest.
Suggested solution Retain the current Aoraki electorate

S12 003 *b* **Objection S G Walker**
Objects to the division of the Rosewill Rural District between the proposed Waitaki and Rangitata electorates. The district has a strong community of interest that lies with both Timaru and Pleasant Point.
Suggested solution Use the Pareroa River as a topographical boundary. Queenstown could be moved into the proposed Waitaki electorate and boundaries around Dunedin and Christchurch adjusted to compensate.
or
Move Downlands and Pleasant Point into the proposed Rangitata electorate, which already includes Timaru.

- S12 004 *b* **Objection D P Bell**
 Objects to the boundary of the proposed Waitaki electorate running so close to Timaru. The existing boundary runs along the Waitaki River, which is a more notable topographical feature than the proposed boundary along Salt Water Creek. This area has a strong community of interest with Timaru, being the nearest major population centre, and none with areas further south.
Suggested solution Ideally, use the Hunter Hills as the boundary, but at the least use the Pareroa River.
- S12 005 *b* **Objection G F & E J Gee**
 Similar to S12/001
Suggested solution None given
- S12 006 *b* **Objection K A Bell**
 Similar to S12/004
Suggested solution Similar to S12/004
- S12 007 *b* **Objection D Diamond**
 Objects to the alterations to the existing Aoraki electorate boundary as these ignore natural boundaries and local communities of interest.
Suggested solution None given.
- S12 008 *b, h* **Objection G T Callanan**
 Requests that the proposed Waitaki electorate boundaries be adopted as local government boundaries.
- S12 009 *b* **Objection J D Malt**
 Similar to S12/001
Suggested solution Keep all areas administered by the Timaru District Council within one electorate.
- S12 010 *b* **Objection P A & W A Cody**
 Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Waitaki electorate.
Suggested solution Similar to S12/002
- S12 011 *b* **Objection F E Hocken**
 Objects to the inclusion of Twizel in the proposed Waitaki electorate, as this area's community of interest lies with Timaru.
Suggested solution Similar to S12/009
- S12 012 *b, h* **Objection J Annear, Mayor – Timaru District Council**
 Similar to S12/007
Suggested solution Similar to S12/002
- S12 013 *b* **Objection J L & R P Tennent**
 Similar to S12/007
Suggested solution Similar to S12/002

- S12 014 *b, h* **Objection M Tarrant**
 Objects to the division of the existing Aoraki electorate and the subsequent inclusion of the towns of Geraldine, Pleasant Point, Fairlie, Tekapo, Twizel, Pareora and Waimate in the proposed Waitaki electorate. Both topography and community of interest link these areas with the rest of the existing Aoraki electorate.
Suggested solution Emphasise topography and community of interest ahead of population numbers when determining boundaries, particularly for geographically large electorates.
- S12 015 *b, h* **Objection South Canterbury Federated Farmers**
 Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Timaru District in the Waitaki electorate on the grounds of community of interest. The South Canterbury region has a distinct identity and should not be split.
Suggested solution Run the electorate boundary along the southern boundary of the Timaru District. Adjustments to other boundaries suggested to accommodate this.
- S12 016 *b, h* **Objection C R Vincent**
 Similar to S12/007
Suggested solution Similar to S12/002
- S12 017 *b* **Objection National Council of New Zealand Women - South Canterbury Branch**
 Similar to S12/007
Suggested solution Similar to S12/002
- S12 018 *b* **Objection J G Campbell**
 Objects to the boundaries of the proposed Waitaki electorate being drawn along roads. This divides valleys, neighbours and rural communities.
Suggested solution Use natural physical boundaries, such as rivers and hill ridges, as electorate boundaries in rural areas.
- S12 019 *n, h* **Objection G M Kircher**
 Objects to the name 'Waitaki' for the proposed electorate, as the name is not inclusive of the whole electorate.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'South Canterbury-Otago'.
- S12 020 *b, h* **Objection Aoraki National Party**
 Objects to the inclusion of Pleasant Point in the proposed Waitaki electorate as its community of interest lies with Timaru and the Rangitata electorate.
Suggested solution Ideally, the whole of the Timaru District should be in one electorate. As this is likely to happen in future boundary adjustments, in the meantime place Pleasant Point in the Rangitata electorate.

S12 021 *b* **Objection M M Vincent**
Similar to S12/007
Supports the submission by the Timaru District Council (S12/012)
Suggested solution Similar to S12/002

S12 022 *b* **Objection South Canterbury Chamber of Commerce**
Similar to S12/007
Suggested solution Similar to S12/002

S13 Dunedin North

S13 001 *b* **Objection A Robins, Dunedin North Labour Party**
Supports the minor changes proposed for the Dunedin North electorate.

S13 002 *b, h* **Objection A McRobie**
Objects to the boundary between the proposed Dunedin North and Waitaki electorates on the grounds of maintaining a defined community of interest.
Suggested solution Use the Dunedin City territorial boundary to determine the electorate boundary. Include the area around Palmerston in the Waitaki electorate.

S15 Clutha - Southland

S15 001 *n* **Objection B R Costley**
Objects to the name 'Clutha-Southland' for the proposed electorate, as the geographic area covered incorporates South Otago, West Otago, Fiordland and Southland.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Southland', as this is the largest and most central of the regions included in the electorate.

S15 002 *b* **Objection G Bradshaw**
Supports the boundary for the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate, particularly the inclusion of Queenstown within the proposed electorate. Would like to see the boundary used by local government.

S15 003 *b* **Objection L D Turnbull – Mataura Ward Councillor**
Objects to the inclusion of Mataura in the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate. Mataura's community of interest lies with Invercargill.
Suggested solution Run the boundary of the Invercargill electorate north of Mataura.

- S15 004 *b, h* **Objection S K Simpson**
 Objects to the inclusion of Roxburgh and surrounds in the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate. This area's community of interest lies with Alexandra and the rest of Otago in the Waitaki electorate. Hills separate it from the rest of the Clutha-Southland electorate.
Suggested solution Move Roxburgh into the Waitaki electorate. To compensate, the Dunedin South boundary could be extended out to the Dunedin City Council boundary to include Middlemarch.
 Change the Electoral Act so that the geographic size of electorates is considered as well as population figures.
- S15 005 *b, h* **Objection Invercargill Labour Electorate Committee**
 Similar to S15/003
Suggested solution Similar to S15/003
- S15 006 *b* **Objection Southland District Council**
 Similar to S15/004
 Queenstown, Arrowtown and Roxburgh share a community of interest with Otago, not Southland.
Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.
 Review the criteria for determining boundaries so that community of interest rather than population is the main consideration.
- S15 007 *b, h* **Objection G M Kircher**
 Objects the inclusion of Roxburgh, Millers Flat, Ettrick and Teviot in the proposed Clutha-Southland. These areas' community of interest lies with Alexandra.
Suggested solution Include these areas in the Waitaki electorate.
- S15 008 *n* **Objection A Venables**
 Objects to the name 'Clutha-Southland' for the proposed electorate. The name no longer represents the geographic area covered by the electorate.
Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Otago-Southland'.
- S15 009 *b, h* **Objection Eric Roy, MP – Invercargill**
 Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Fairfax area in the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate.
Suggested solution Shift the boundary with the Invercargill electorate north to include all of Fairfax-Isla Bank Road and the Fairfax township.
- S15 010 *b* **Objection P Wilson**
 Similar to S15/003
Suggested solution Include Mataura and surrounds in the Invercargill electorate. This would also help Invercargill maintain its population quota against projected growth figures.

S15 011 *b* **Objection Queenstown Lakes District Council**
Supports the boundaries of the proposed Clutha-Southland electorate. Has concerns about the splitting of the Wakatipu area and the Wanaka Ward across two electorates, but considers this unavoidable in the short term, given the projected growth in the district.
Suggested solution That the Wakatipu and Wanaka areas be reunited into one electorate at the next boundary review.

S16 Invercargill

S16 001 *n* **Objection A Reid**
Objects to the name 'Invercargill' for the proposed electorate. This name focuses on the city to the exclusion of rural areas of the electorate.

Suggested solution Name the electorate 'Foveaux'

S16 002 *b* **Objection M C Dodge**
Objects to the inclusion of parts of the Catlins community in the proposed Invercargill electorate. The Catlins area shares a distinct rural community of interest and should not be split.

Suggested solution Develop the Invercargill electorate as an urban electorate. Keep the Catlins area together in a rural focused electorate.

S16 003 *n* **Objection P Lewis**
Similar to S16/001
Notes that the name 'Invercargill' does not encompass the diversity of communities included in the proposed electorate.

Suggested solution Similar to S16/001

S16 004 *b* **Objection Southland District Council**
Objects to the inclusion of Orepuki, Colac Bay, Riverton and Thornbury in the proposed Invercargill electorate. These areas' community of interest lies with Southland.

Suggested solution Retain the current boundary.

Review the criteria for determining boundaries so that community of interest rather than population is the main consideration.

S16 005 *b* **Objection M & F Sutherland**

Similar to S16/002

Suggested solution Retain the current boundary along the Mataura River.

S16 006 *b* **Objection Tourism Catlins**

Similar to S16/002

Suggested solution Similar to S16/005

S16 007 *b* **Objection P Wilson**
Objects to the inclusion of Riverton in the proposed Invercargill electorate.
Suggested solution None given.

S16 008 *b, h* **Objection New Zealand Labour Party**
Similar to S16/002 and S16/007
Suggested solution Redraw the boundary to include areas with stronger community of interest with Invercargill.

M02 Tamaki Makaurau

M02 001 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**
Objects to the spelling of the name of the proposed Tamaki Makaurau electorate. The name should follow Maori orthographic convention.
Suggested solution Spell the name 'Tāmaki-makau-rau'

M03 Pare Hauraki–Pare Waikato

M03 001 *b, n, h* **Objection Ngāti Maru Rūnanga**
Supports the boundary and name for the proposed Pare Hauraki–Pare Waikato electorate.

M03 002 *b, n* **Objection Hako Hauraki Aotearoa**
Objects to the boundary and name for the proposed Pare Hauraki–Pare Waikato electorate.
Suggested solution Restore the historic Hauraki electorate and boundaries.

M03 003 *n, h* **Objection Te Kupenga o Ngati Hako Inc**
Supports the name 'Pare Hauraki–Pare Waikato' for the proposed electorate. This name is more inclusive of the iwi included in the electorate than the previous name 'Tainui'.

- M03 004 *b, n, h* **Objection Nanaia Mahuta, MP – Tainui**
- Objects to the inclusion of Manurewa, Papakura and Waiheke Island in the proposed Pare Hauraki - Pare Waikato electorate. These areas share a community of interest with the Tamaki Makaurau electorate. The boundaries of the electorate should remain as close as possible to the existing Tainui electorate boundaries.
- Also objects to the name 'Pare Hauraki – Pare Waikato' for the proposed electorate.
- Suggested solution* Use the natural boundaries of the Bombay Hills, Hunua Ranges and the Hingaia Peninsula to set the boundary of the Tamaki Makaurau electorate. The Pare Hauraki - Pare Waikato electorate should then be extended to include Tokoroa and Maniapoto, who share strong links with the electorate.
- Retain the name 'Tainui' for the electorate.
- M03 005 *b, h* **Objection T L Turner**
- Supports the boundary of the proposed Pare Hauraki - Pare Waikato electorate.
- M03 006 *n, h* **Objection D T Te Kanawa**
- Objects to the name 'Pare Hauraki – Pare Waikato' for the proposed electorate.
- Suggested solution* Retain the name 'Tainui' for the electorate.

M05 Te Tai Hauauru

- M05 001 *n* **Objection G R Seymour**
- Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Te Tai Hauauru electorate.
- Suggested solution* That the electorate name be spelt 'Te Tai Hauāuru'
- M05 002 *b, h* **Objection D T Te Kanawa**
- Objects to the northern boundary of the proposed Te Tai Hauauru electorate. The proposed boundary places Ngati Maniapoto in a separate electorate from the rest of the traditional Tainui confederation of tribes. Population quota tolerances allow for the current boundary to be retained.
- Suggested solution* Retain the current boundary near the Ngati Maniapoto southern boundary. Move the boundary near Putaruru south. This would reunite part of the Raukawa (Tainui) tribal area with the rest of Tainui.

M06

Ikaroa-Rawhiti

M06 001 *n*

Objection G R Seymour

Objects to the omission of the macron in the name of the proposed Ikaroa-Rawhiti electorate.

Suggested solution That the electorate name be spelt 'Ikaroa-Rāwhiti'

M07

Te Tai Tonga

M07 001 *b, h*

Objection H Collins, Secretary – The Maori Party, Te Upoko o te Ika branch

Objects to the inclusion of parts of the North Island in the proposed Te Tai Tonga electorate. The size of the electorate makes it difficult for an MP to represent it effectively.

Suggested solution Use Raukawa Moana/ Cook Strait as the northern boundary.