
Summary of counter-objections

Abbreviations used: b relates to an objection to a boundary
 n relates to an objection to a name
 h counter-objector has requested a hearing

N04

N04-601 b, n, h

Helensville

Counter-objection M Bridges

Relates to objections N04-001, N04-002, N04-003, N04-033

Does not agree with the objections that suggest Laingholm, Huia and Woodlands Park be included in New Lynn. Considers these areas primarily associate with the Waitakere Ranges and the west coast beaches. Does not agree the electorate name should be changed as it is an established electorate.

Suggested solution: Laingholm, Huia and Woodlands Park to be included in the same electorate as the Waitakere Ranges. Retain the name 'Helensville' for the electorate.

N04-602 b, h

Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party

Relates to objections N04-018, N04-027 and similar objections

Considers the boundary proposed by the Representation Commission to be a demonstrably better delineation between urban and rural communities than the objectors' suggestions.

N08

N08-601 b

Upper Harbour

Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party

Relates to objections N08-002 and N08-003

Supports the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission for the Upper Harbour electorate on the basis the urban/non-urban distinction has been well drawn.

N09

N09-601 b, h

Te Atatū

Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party

Relates to objections N04-027, N09-001, N09-002 and N10-001 to N10-008

Considers the Representation Commission's proposed boundaries for the Te Atatū electorate better meet the statutory criteria than the various objectors' suggested solutions.

N09-602	n	Counter-objection	G Seymour
		Relates to objection N09-002	
		Objects to the proposal to change the name of the electorate to 'West Edenvale' or 'Glen Eden-Sunnyvale'. Objects to the loss of an established Māori name. 'Te Atatū' is a local established name and is geographically correct.	
		<i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the name 'Te Atatū' for the electorate.	
N10		Kelston	
N10-601	n, h	Counter-objection	M J Watson
		Relates to objection N10-010	
		Objects to the suggested name 'Waitakere East' as the name is of no relevance to parts of the electorate and could cause confusion with the Waitakere Local Board, which represents different local government areas.	
		<i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Kelston' or 'Whau', the name of the river in the area.	
N10-602	b, h	Counter-objection	M J Watson
		Relates to objection N10-013	
		Notes Waterview is currently in Mt Albert. Waterview should not be included in the New Lynn electorate, as this would exceed New Lynn's electoral population threshold.	
		<i>Suggested solution:</i> Include Avondale town centre in Kelston. Move the New Lynn boundary from the rail line to Rata Street, up the Whau River and along Portage Road. Retain Waterview in the existing Mt Albert electorate.	
N11		New Lynn	
N11-601	n	Counter-objection	B Smith
		Relates to objections N11-001 and N11-006	
		<i>Suggested solution:</i> Change the name of the electorate to 'Whau' which is the name of a stream that passes through the area and also the name of the local board.	
N11-602	n	Counter-objection	M Bridges
		Relates to objections N11-001 and N11-006	
		Objects to the suggestions the name of the electorate be changed to 'New Windsor' or 'Blockhouse Bay'. Considers a Māori name should be used.	
		<i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Whau' or 'Titirangi'. Whau is also the local board name.	
N11-603	n	Counter-objection	J Bridges
		Relates to objection N11-006	
		Similar to N11-602	
		<i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Whau'.	

N11-604	b, n, h	<p>Counter-objection M J Watson Relates to objection N11-006</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion the name of the electorate be changed to 'Green Bay', 'New Windsor' or 'Blockhouse Bay'.</p> <p>Objects to the suggested boundary if the name is not changed. Considers a slight amendment to the suggested boundary.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the name 'New Lynn' for the electorate. Move the New Lynn boundary from the rail line to Rata Street, up the Whau River, to Great North Road and onto Portage Road.</p>
N11-605	n	<p>Counter-objection M J Watson Relates to objection N11-001</p> <p>Objects to suggestion the name of the electorate be changed to 'Blockhouse Bay' or 'Titirangi'.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the name 'New Lynn' for the electorate as it is the largest town centre within the boundary of the proposed electorate.</p>
N12		Mt Albert
N12-601	b, h	<p>Counter-objection T Coffey Relates to objections N12-001 to N12-017</p> <p>Does not consider Grey Lynn to be a logical part of the Auckland Central electorate. Considers Auckland city centre to form a stand-alone community of interest and indicators show the city centre will continue to increase in residential density to 2018 and beyond. Notes St Marys Bay, Herne Bay, Ponsonby, Freemans Bay, Newton and Eden Terrace are the areas neighbouring the CBD with shared communities of interest.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Keep Auckland Central 'CBD-centric' and set the electoral population as low as possible to avoid the need to radically redraw the boundaries in 2018. Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission for Mt Albert.</p>
N12-602	b	<p>Counter-objection M Cooper Relates to objections N12-001 to N12-017</p> <p>Generally supports the boundaries for Auckland Central proposed by the Representation Commission. Supports the concern raised by a number of objectors that the Grey Lynn community of interest should not be split across two electorates.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Include all of Grey Lynn within Mt Albert, which would place it with Kingsland, a suburb with which the community has much in common. Consequential changes may include moving the Eden Terrace community into Epsom and including Parnell in Auckland Central.</p>
N12-603	b	<p>Counter-objection D Horton Relates to objections N12-001 to N12-007</p> <p>Similar to N12-602</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Include all of Grey Lynn in Mt Albert, with similar suburbs such as Kingsland. Include Parnell in Auckland Central.</p>

- N12-604 b **Counter-objection L Holden**
 Relates to objections N12-001 to N12-007
 Considers Grey Lynn to be a community of interest but recognises on the numbers it is not possible to have all of Grey Lynn in Auckland Central. Notes Grey Lynn has more in common with Kingsland or Mt Eden and recommends the boundary for Auckland Central be based on the CBD's community of interest. To compensate, suggests Auckland Central's eastern boundary be expanded to include Parnell.
Suggested solution: The boundary should follow Richmond Road up to Ponsonby Road and then follow the North Western Motorway.
- N12-605 b **Counter-objection S Anderson**
 Relates to objections N12-001 to N12-007
 Considers Grey Lynn to have more in common with other residential suburbs such as Mt Albert. Notes Parnell and Ponsonby are central suburbs which share character and issues with the CBD.
Suggested solution: Include Eden Terrace and Grey Lynn in the Mt Albert electorate.
- N12-606 b, h **Counter-objection J Long**
 Relates to objections N12-001 to N12-005
 Considers Grey Lynn, Westmere and Pt Chevalier all form a similar community of interest.
Suggested solution: Include Westmere, Grey Lynn and Pt Chevalier in Mt Albert.
- N12-607 b **Counter-objection M Voyce**
 Relates to objection N12-001
 Suggests Grey Lynn should be placed with Kingsland as the two areas are of a similar size and have common issues.
Suggested solution: The boundary between Mt Albert and Auckland Central should run from Richmond Road, turn to the motorway and back to the CBD.
- N12-608 b **Counter-objection B Milson**
 Relates to objection N12-006
 Similar to N12-602
Suggested solution: Grey Lynn to be included in Mt Albert and Eden Terrace and Parnell to be included in Auckland Central with the boundary to follow Richmond Road up to Ponsonby Road, then follow the North Western Motorway.
- N12-609 b **Counter-objection G Moyle**
 Relates to objection N12-006
 Considers Westmere, Grey Lynn, Arch Hill and Eden Terrace should be included in Mt Albert as they share communities of interest. Cox's Bay, Ponsonby, Herne Bay, Freemans Bay and the CBD and possibly Parnell should be included in Auckland Central.
Suggested solution: The boundary between Mt Albert and Auckland Central to run from Cox's Bay Park to Richmond Road, to Ponsonby Road and to the North Western Motorway.

N12-610	b, h	<p>Counter-objection A Barrowclough Relates to objection N12-006 and others</p> <p>Considers Grey Lynn a suburban community, whereas Auckland CBD has clear identifying characteristics that are unlike neighbouring suburban communities and should be recognised as a community of interest. Also suggests recognition needs to be given to the under reporting of residents in Auckland CBD in the 2006 and 2013 Census.</p>
N12-611	b, h	<p>Counter-objection M J Watson Relates to objection N12-014</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion of moving Eden Terrace into the Mt Albert electorate and considers priority should be given to keeping suburbs such as Waterview in Mt Albert as it has a strong community of interest with the electorate.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Move the boundary as suggested but retain Eden Terrace in Auckland Central. Retain Waterview in Mt Albert.</p>
N12-612	b	<p>Counter-objection M J Watson Relates to objection N12-015</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion of moving Pt Chevalier out of Mt Albert as it has a community of interest with the Mt Albert area. It is also represented by the Albert Eden Local Board.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Pt Chevalier in Mt Albert.</p>
N12-613	b, h	<p>Counter-objection S McArley Relates to objection N12-010, N12-012, N12-013 and N12-014</p> <p>Generally supports these objections but objects to the suggestion that Eden Terrace, Newton or Arch Hill be moved from Auckland Central as these areas form a community of interest with Ponsonby, Grey Lynn and the central city and should be retained in Auckland Central. Also objects to the suggestion to adjust the eastern boundary of the Auckland Central electorate.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Set out in objection N12-015 – the suburbs of Pt Chevalier, Westmere, Herne Bay and St Marys Bay be included in Mt Albert.</p>
N13		<p>Mt Roskill</p>
N13-601	b, h	<p>Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party Relates to objections N13-012, N13-059 and N13-064 and N13-113 to N13-127</p> <p>Supports the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission for the Mt Roskill electorate. Objects to suggested solutions that would change the existing boundary between Auckland Central and Epsom as this boundary is very strong and long standing. Supports placing all of the Royal Oak area in the Mt Roskill electorate.</p>

N13-602	b, n	<p>Counter-objection New Zealand National Party, Northern Region</p> <p>Relates to objections N13-001 and N13-002</p> <p>Encourages the Representation Commission to consider these objections in the context of misinformation circulated in the Epsom area about the implications of boundary changes for school zones and house prices. Suggests, given the proximity of the area to the existing Mt Roskill boundary, population pressures on Epsom and Auckland as a whole and the demographic diversity of central Auckland electorates that these concerns may be more adequately recognised with a name change of the Mt Roskill electorate.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Adopt or slightly adjust the Mt Roskill boundary proposed by the Representation Commission. Change the name of the electorate to 'Waikowhai'.</p>
N13-603	b, n, h	<p>Counter-objection G McKeown</p> <p>Relates to objections N12-001, N12-013, N13-003, N13-004, N13-041, N13-042, N13-052, N13-055, N13-125, N13-128 and N15-001</p> <p>Supports Grey Lynn not being split between electorates but does not agree it should be retained in Auckland Central.</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion Auckland Central should lose population on the eastern boundary.</p> <p>Does not support suggestions a small number of houses be moved from Epsom to Mt Roskill.</p> <p>Does not support the suggested electorate names.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the existing boundary between Epsom and Mt Roskill, with the inclusion of a small number of properties on the south side of Landscape Road in Epsom.</p> <p>Retain areas around White Swan and Richardson Roads in Mt Roskill.</p> <p>Include the Oranga area and the Stonefields area in Maungakiekie. Modify the Mt Albert/Auckland Central boundary to retain all of Grey Lynn in one electorate.</p> <p>Retain the name 'Epsom' for the electorate if the existing boundary between Epsom and Mt Roskill is adopted.</p>
N13-604	b, n, h	<p>Counter-objection L McGregor</p> <p>Relates to objections N13-003, N13-004, N13-039 and N15-001</p> <p>Objects to suggested names for the Mt Roskill and Epsom electorates. Objects to the suggestion the boundary should be placed along St Leonards Road, which would isolate an integral part of Epsom from its community of interest.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Set out in objection N13-066.</p>
N13-605	n, h	<p>Counter-objection J Jackson</p> <p>Relates to objection N13-128</p> <p>Considers the name 'Mt Roskill' does not reflect the communities in the proposed electorate.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Waikowhai'.</p>

N15

N15-601 n

Epsom

Counter-objection M J Watson

Relates to objection N15-001

Objects to the suggestion the electorate be named 'Remuera' as the electorate is currently named 'Epsom' and the boundary change is not significant.

Suggested solution: Retain the name 'Epsom' for the electorate.

N16

N16-601 b

Tāmaki

Counter-objection P J Mostert

Relates to objection N16-001

Considers the Stonefields development to be more closely centred on the Tāmaki electorate or the St Johns area rather than Maungakiekie.

Suggested solution: Retain Stonefields in the Tāmaki electorate.

N16-602 b

Counter-objection K Wall

Relates to objection N16-001

Objects to the suggested changes to the Tāmaki electorate.

Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601

N16-603 b

Counter-objection J Hoskins

Relates to objection N16-001

Considers the Stonefields community is associated with Eastern Bays, Glendowie and Glen Innes and their community of interest to be with the Tāmaki electorate.

Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601

N16-604 b

Counter-objection G Yanhua

Relates to objection N16-001

Notes Stonefields school zone belongs in the Tāmaki electorate and considers Stonefields to be more closely linked to the Tamaki area.

Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601

N16-605 b

Counter-objection M Zhang

Relates to objection N16-001

Similar to N16-004

Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601

N16-606 b

Counter-objection G Myles

Relates to objection N16-001

Objects to the suggestion Stonefields is closely aligned with Mt Wellington as it is a new suburb and the majority of residents have strong affiliations to the Tāmaki electorate, including schools, shops and social activities in the area.

Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601

N16-607	b, h	<p>Counter-objection B Dungan Relates to objections N16-001 and N16-006</p> <p>Objects to the suggestions Stonefields is more closely aligned with Mt Wellington. Considers the Stonefields residents to be more closely aligned with the Eastern Suburbs and the Tāmaki MP and the Orakei Local Board meet the needs of Stonefields residents.</p>
N16-608	b	<p>Counter-objection S Heap Relates to objections N16-001 and N16-002</p> <p>Considers the Stonefields residents to be more closely aligned with the Eastern Suburbs than Mt Wellington. The area is in the Orakei Ward and the school catchment is in the St Johns area. Considers they have a greater affinity with Tāmaki.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N16-601</p>
N16-609	b	<p>Counter-objection J Dring Relates to objections N16-001 and N16-002</p> <p>Considers the Stonefields residents to be more closely aligned to the Eastern Suburbs.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N16-601</p>
N16-610	b	<p>Counter-objection J Harris Relates to objections N16-001 and N16-002</p> <p>Does not consider Stonefields to be affiliated with Glen Innes. Feels strongly affiliated with the Eastern Suburbs and shops at Eastridge and Newmarket. Notes secondary school students attend Selwyn College and their friends are in the Eastern Suburbs.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N16-601</p>
N16-611	b	<p>Counter-objection Dr R J Ellis Relates to objections N16-001 and N16-002</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion Stonefields be included in Maungakiekie. As a resident of Stonefields, business and recreational activities are in Lunn Avenue, Meadowbank, East Ridge, Mission Bay and St Heliers.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N16-601</p>
N16-612	b	<p>Counter-objection T Brown Relates to objections N16-001 and N16-002</p> <p>Similar to N16-608</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N16-601</p>
N16-613	b	<p>Counter-objection T Wilson Relates to objection N16-002</p> <p>Similar to N16-608</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N16-601</p>

- N16-614 b **Counter-objection L Wall**
 Relates to objection N16-002
 Similar to N16-602
Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601
- N16-615 b **Counter-objection F Wall**
 Relates to objection N16-002
 Similar to N16-602
Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601
- N16-616 b **Counter-objection E Nagle**
 Relates to objection N16-002
 Objects to the suggestion Stonefields be included in Maungakiekie. Residents of Stonefields use Meadowbank, Remuera, and St Johns for shops, facilities and public transport.
Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601
- N16-617 b **Counter-objection J Nagle**
 Relates to objection N16-005
 Similar to N16-616
Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601
- N16-618 b **Counter-objection F Wilson**
 Relates to objection N16-003
 Objects to the suggestion Stonefields be included in Maungakiekie because of links to the historical pa site on Mt Wellington. Does not consider this to be a relevant criteria for the determination of electoral boundaries.
Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601
- N16-619 b **Counter-objection A Guo**
 Relates to objections N16-001, N16-006, N17-001 and N17-006
 Objects to the suggestion Stonefields be included in Maungakiekie. Considers Stonefields' community of interest, demographics and transport links are with the suburbs to the north including Remuera and St Johns. Notes the old quarry is a physical boundary to the south and Stonefields is a newly built suburb and part of the Orakei Council Ward.
Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601
- N16-620 b **Counter-objection Ms Han**
 Relates to objections N16-001, N16-006, N17-001 and N17-006
 Similar to N16-619
Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601

- N16-621 b **Counter-objection G Myles and 102 others**
 Relates to objections N16-001 to N16-006 and N17-001 to N17-006
 Petition objection to the suggested change to include Stonefields in the Maungakiekie electorate. Notes Stonefields is in the Tāmaki electorate and the Orakei Local Board area and Stonefields community of interest is with the Eastern Bays such as Meadowbank and St Johns.
Suggested solution: Similar to N16-601
- N16-622 b **Counter-objection C Davis**
 Relates to objections N16-001 to N16-006 and N17-001 to N17-005
 Similar to N16-619
Suggested solution: Stonefields to remain in the Tāmaki electorate. All of Lunn Avenue could be in the Maungakiekie electorate so the road would not be split.
- N16-623 b, h **Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party**
 Relates to objections N16-001 to N16-006 and N17-002 to N17-009, N17-011 and N17-017
 Supports the Representation Commission's proposed boundary between Tāmaki and Maungakiekie, keeping Stonefields in Tāmaki and Mt Wellington in Maungakiekie. Objects to the suggested boundaries to include the suburbs of Panmure, Pt England and Glen Innes for reasons that include appropriate use of long standing local government boundaries and apparent misunderstanding of the statutory criteria by some objectors.
Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission for Tāmaki.
- N16-624 b, h **Counter-objection J Jackson**
 Relates to objections N16-001 and others
 Notes the 2007 electoral boundary changes divided the area of Pt England and Glen Innes and split the suburbs of Tamaki and Panmure from the Tāmaki electorate.
Suggested solution: Move the boundary for the Tāmaki electorate southward to unite Tamaki School and Tamaki College in one electorate. Suggests Ruapotaka Primary school should also be included in the Tāmaki electorate.
- N17**
- N17-601 b **Counter-objection S Heap**
 Relates to objection N17-002
 Objects to the suggestion the Stonefields area should be moved back into Maungakiekie. Stonefields is a new suburb and has only ever been in Tāmaki.
Suggested solution: Retain Stonefields in the Tāmaki electorate.

N17-602	b	<p>Counter-objection A Mostert Relates to objection N17-002 Similar to N17-601 <i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N17-601</p>
N17-603	b	<p>Counter-objection J Dring Relates to objection N17-002 Similar to N17-601 <i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N17-601</p>
N17-604	b	<p>Counter-objection J Harris Relates to objections N17-002 and N17-003 Objects to the suggestion the Stonefields area should be moved back into Maungakiekie. Stonefields is a new suburb and was a quarry in the past. The area is not linked to Ellerslie. Stonefields has links with the Eastern Suburbs. <i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N17-601</p>
N17-605	b	<p>Counter-objection J Hoskins Relates to objection N17-003 Similar to N17-604 <i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N17-601</p>
N17-606	b	<p>Counter-objection R Tataurangi Relates to objection N17-004 Objects to the proposed solution for objection N17-004 that appears to support the removal of the Royal Oak community from Maungakiekie to enable the inclusion of the Stonefields area. Royal Oak's community of interest and connections are with Maungakiekie. <i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Royal Oak in the Maungakiekie electorate. Use Queenstown Road, Pah Road and Mt Albert Road to the west of the intersection with Pah Road as the boundary for the south western end of the electorate.</p>
N17-607	b	<p>Counter-objection S Gladding Relates to objections N17-004, N17-005, N17-007, N17-011, N17-013, N17-017 and N17-019 Objects to the suggestion Panmure and Mt Wellington be split into different electorates. Supports the minor change to include Maybury Street in Maungakiekie. Objects to the suggestion Stonefields be included in Maungakiekie. Considers Pt England, Panmure and Mt Wellington to have a shared community of interest. Does not consider Stonefields to have a connection with Panmure and Mt Wellington. <i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Panmure, Mt Wellington and Pt England in Maungakiekie, with the boundary extending to the reserve north of Maybury Street. Retain Stonefields in Tāmaki. If possible, include Glen Innes in Maungakiekie.</p>

- N17-608 b, h **Counter-objection Panmure Business Association**
 Relates to objection N17-005
 Objects to the suggestion Panmure be included in the Tāmaki electorate. Considers the communities of Glen Innes, Pt England and Panmure are closely aligned and should be represented in one electorate and that Mt Wellington should remain aligned to Panmure. Notes these suburbs are part of the same local government ward.
Suggested solution: Glen Innes, Pt England, Panmure and Mt Wellington to remain together in one electorate.
- N17-609 b **Counter-objection B Dungan**
 Relates to objection N17-005
 Objects to the suggestion the Stonefields area be included in Maungakiekie. Notes the Stonefields local board and schools are in Tāmaki.
Suggested solution: Similar to N17-601
- N17-610 b, h **Counter-objection L Matthews, Mt Wellington/Panmure RSA**
 Relates to objection N17-005
 Similar to N17-608
Suggested solution: Panmure, Pt England and Mt Wellington to remain in Maungakiekie. Stonefields to remain in Tāmaki.
- N17-611 b, h **Counter-objection Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board**
 Relates to objections N16-001 to N16-005, N17-002, N17-003, N17-005 N17-006, N17-007, N17-019 and N17-021
 Suggests Glen Innes be joined with the suburbs of Pt England, Tamaki and Panmure. Objects that they should be placed in the Tāmaki electorate as this would separate them from Mt Wellington with which there is a historical connection. The programming of community centres, recreation centres, libraries and community development is determined by the Maungakiekie-Tamaki Local Board, which further links Glen Innes to this area.
 Objects to the suggestion Stonefields be included in Maungakiekie as its connections are to Meadowbank and Remuera.
 Supports the proposal to move the Maungakiekie electorate to the south to include the community of Riverside, which reflects the current local government boundaries.
Suggested solution: The Maungakiekie boundary to run along the West Tamaki Road and the eastern rail line (which is the same as the local government boundaries). Move Ellerslie into Tāmaki to compensate, which is more closely associated which communities to the north. If these changes were made, name the Tāmaki electorate 'Orakei'.
 Retain Stonefields in the Tāmaki electorate.
 Adopt the boundary proposed by the Representation Commission between Maungakiekie and Manukau East.

- N17-612 b, h **Counter-objection J McAlpine**
 Relates to objection N17-005 and others
 Objects to the suggestion Onehunga and Royal Oak be considered one geographical and interest area to be included in the Maungakiekie electorate. Considers the areas to be quite independent of each other. Objects to the suggestion Panmure be considered separately from Mt Wellington and be linked with Tamaki and Glen Innes. Panmure and Mt Wellington have a shared history and have common interests.
Suggested solution: Retain Onehunga in Maungakiekie and include Royal Oak in Epsom. Retain Panmure in Maungakiekie with Mt Wellington.
- N17-613 b, h **Counter-objection A Verrall**
 Relates to objections N17-005 N17-007, N17-008, N17-011, N17-012, N17-015 and N17-017
 Objects to the suggestion Panmure should be split from Mt Wellington. The historical connection between the two areas continue to be reinforced through ongoing developments, for example the AMETI Park and Ride serves both areas. Considers Glen Innes, Pt England and Panmure to have a lot in common.
Suggested solution: Panmure and Mt Wellington to remain in Maungakiekie. If possible include Glenn Innes with Pt England, Panmure and Mt Wellington in Maungakiekie.
- N17-614 b **Counter-objection C Teesdale**
 Relates to objection N17-013
 Objects to the suggestion Pt England is better served by being included in Maungakiekie. This area's community and connections are with the Tamaki inlet and Glen Innes.
Suggested solution: Include Pt England in the Tāmaki electorate.
- N17-615 b **Counter-objection M and J Welch**
 Relates to objections N17-007, N17-008 , N17-015 and N17-017
 Similar to N17-613
Suggested solution: Panmure and Mt Wellington to remain in Maungakiekie.
- N17-616 b **Counter-objection A and M Dance**
 Relates to objections N17-007, N17-008, N17-011, N17-017 and N17-019
 Considers Panmure and Mt Wellington should be paired as a group as they share connections and facilities.
Suggested solution: Try to keep historically formed communities together.

N17-617	b, h	<p>Counter-objection M Thomas, Deputy Chair, Orakei Local Board</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion to move the Maungakiekie boundary northwards. Supports uniting Panmure, Tamaki, Pt England and Glen Innes communities within the Tāmaki electorate to better accommodate their communities of interest and links.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Move the Tāmaki electorate boundary south to Lagoon Drive in Panmure.</p>
N17-618	b	<p>Counter-objection K Parkinson, Orakei Local Board Member</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014</p> <p>Similar to N17-617</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N17-617</p>
N17-619	b	<p>Counter-objection J Nicholson</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014</p> <p>Similar to N17-617</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N17-617</p>
N17-620	b	<p>Counter-objection O Collette-Moxon</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion the boundary run along Pt England Road as this will split part of Pt England from the rest of the suburb, including the school, reserve and shopping area.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Move the northern side of Pt England Road into the Maungakiekie electorate. Starting at the mouth of the Omaru Creek, the boundary to run along the creek then between Maybury Street and Taniwha Street and Rowena Crescent before joining the existing boundary.</p>
N17-621	b, h	<p>Counter-objection H Donegan</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion the boundary run along Pt England Road. Considers East Tamaki and Glen Innes have no community of interest with Green Lane, Onehunga, Ellerslie and One Tree Hill. Their connection is to the rest of the Tāmaki electorate.</p>
N17-622	b, h	<p>Counter-objection K Hickland</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014</p> <p>Considers the existing electorate boundaries to have well served the area for a number of years. Does not support parts of Onehunga moving into Mt Roskill.</p>
N17-623	b, h	<p>Counter-objection E Henson, Ellerslie Residents Association</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014</p> <p>Objects to the suggested solution for N17-013 and N17-014.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.</p>

- N17-624 b, h **Counter-objection G Moody**
 Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014
 Objects to the suggested solution as this does not keep the Glen Innes community together or resolve the split between Panmure and Glen Innes.
Suggested solution: Include Glen Innes, Pt England, Tamaki and Panmure in the Tāmaki electorate. To compensate move Stonefields to Maungakiekie. The boundary could run along Lagoon Drive, Jellicoe Road, the rail corridor, Merton Road, Donnelly Street and Ngahue Drive to join the proposed boundary at Abbotts Way.
- N17-625 b, h **Counter-objection T Hyslop**
 Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014
 Objects to the suggestion the Maungakiekie boundary be extended further as the electorate already incorporates a number of different centres.
Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.
- N17-626 b, h **Counter-objection B Cullen**
 Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014
 Objects to the suggested boundary as it is at odds with the historical placement of these communities within the Tāmaki electorate. Considers the geographic area and community of interest for residents of Pt England and Panmure to be strongly associated with the Tāmaki electorate and the wider Glen Innes suburb.
Suggested solution: Include the entire Panmure and Pt England suburbs in the Tāmaki electorate.
- N17-627 b **Counter-objection J Sax**
 Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014
 Objects to the suggested boundary. Considers Panmure and Pt England should be with Glen Innes and that the Tāmaki electorate should be moved south to unite the Pt England school zone within one electorate.
Suggested solution: Include Pt England, Panmure and Glen Innes in the Tāmaki electorate.
- N17-628 b, h **Counter-objection T Millar**
 Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014
 Objects to the suggested solution for N17-013 and N17-014.
Suggested solution: Include Stonefields in Maungakiekie in light of its historical association with Mt Wellington. For the Commission to take notice of the natural dividing line of park land from the Ellerslie Racecourse across Abbotts Park, Waatarua Reserve, the Remuera Golf Course and sports facilities north of Stonefields.

N17-629	b, n, h	<p>Counter-objection M Burke QSM</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-013 and N17-014</p> <p>Considers the proposed extension of the Maungakiekie boundary to the north to be at odds with the historical placement of the affected communities in the Tāmaki electorate.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Reiterates the suggestion provided in N13-125 that the Mt Roskill name be changed to a more representative name such as ‘Three Kings’ or ‘Waikowhai’.</p>
N17-630	b, h	<p>Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-019 and N17-021</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion the boundary remain the same as the 2007 electoral boundaries. Considers the boundary should be realigned to the existing local body boundaries, which would also be consistent with the old Mt Wellington and Otahuhu borough boundaries.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission with the southern boundary being Portage Road.</p>
N17-631	b, h	<p>Counter-objection S Durbin</p> <p>Relates to objections N17-019 and N17-021</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion the area should be linked with Otahuhu in Manukau East. Considers this area to have transport, shopping and community links to the rest of Mt Wellington.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.</p>
N17-632	n	<p>Counter-objection G Seymour</p> <p>Relates to objection N17-024</p> <p>Objects to the suggested name ‘Mt Wellington’ for the electorate, although notes there is some merit in the suggestion.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate ‘Maungarei’ to acknowledge the geography moving across from Maungakiekie.</p>
N20		<p>Manukau East</p>
N20-601	n	<p>Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party</p> <p>Relates to objection N20-001</p> <p>Objects to the suggested names ‘Otara’, ‘Otahuhu’ or ‘Middlemore’ as they ignore the Papatoetoe community that makes up nearly half the population of the proposed electorate. ‘Otara’ would also be confusing as the Otara sub-ward is partly in the Botany electorate.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the name ‘Manukau East’ for the electorate.</p>

N20-602	n, h	<p>Counter-objection G Troup</p> <p>Relates to objection N20-001</p> <p>Objects to the suggested names as none of them could be used to describe the whole area.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the name 'Manukau East' for the electorate. If the electorate name must change, name the electorate 'Papatoetoe', which has recently celebrated its 150th anniversary.</p>
N20-603	n	<p>Counter-objection I McGechie</p> <p>Relates to objection N20-001</p> <p>Does not consider there is a need to change the name of the electorate. 'Manakau East' still maintains significance as the major southern commercial and industrial area within the region.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Keep the name 'Manukau East' for the electorate.</p>
N23		Papakura
N23-601	b	<p>Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party</p> <p>Relates to objection N23-007</p> <p>Supports the objection. Does not consider the Redoubt Road and Brookby area should be included in the urbanising Papakura electorate. Also objects to the inclusion of Mission Heights, which is an urban area, in the peri-urban Hunua electorate.</p>
N23-602	b, h	<p>Counter-objection W Simpson</p> <p>Relates to objection N23-007</p> <p>Objects to the solution suggested by N23-007 on the basis the areas have far more affinity to Papakura than to Pukekohe. Travel from this area to Papakura is a 10 to 15 minute drive and many people in the area work in Papakura and have an affinity to the Papakura area.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Adopt the boundary proposed by the Representation Commission.</p>
N23-603	b, h	<p>Counter-objection V Jack</p> <p>Relates to objection N23-007</p> <p>Considers the community of interest of residents in the area to be with Papakura. Residents in the area use facilities, schools, shops and work in Papakura. Does not have a connection with Pukekohe.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N23-602</p>
N23-604	b	<p>Counter-objection N Kuypers</p> <p>Relates to objection N23-007</p> <p>Similar to N23-602</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to N23-602</p>

N24

N24-601

n

Hunua

Counter-objection **G Seymour**

Relates to objection N24-004

Objects to the suggested electorate name of 'Franklin'. Recommends the Commission favour Māori names for the historical association and support for te reo Māori.

Suggested solution: Retain the name 'Hunua' for the electorate.

N24-602

b

Counter-objection **R Kyd**

Relates to objection N24-005

Objects to the solution suggested by N24-005 for the boundary with Waikato on the basis it is more sensible for the areas of Maioro, Otaua, Aka Aka and Tuakau to be part of the Waikato electorate.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundary proposed by the Representation Commission.

N24-603

b, h

Counter-objection **A Dalton, Manurewa Local Board**

Relates to objections N24-005 to N24-007

Supports the proposed inclusion of parts of Flat Bush in the Hunua electorate as these areas are fast growing. Including these areas in Botany would require other significant changes to Botany and other electorates in the area which would not be in the interests of effective representation.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.

N24-604

b, h

Counter-objection **S Stewart and D Quax, Auckland Council, Howick-Pakuranga-Botany Ward Councillors**

Relates to objections N24-005 to N24-007

Supports the boundary proposed by the Representation Commission as it has largely succeeded in keeping completed developments in the Botany electorate, while keeping planned developments in the Hunua electorate. The Hunua electorate is a town belt seat and will necessarily include areas of high growth.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.

N26

N26-601

b

Hamilton West

Counter-objection **J Hall**

Relates to objections N26-001 to N26-006

Objects to N26-001 to N26-006 which support moving an area lying to the east of the Waikato River, in the existing Hamilton East and Waikato electorates, into Hamilton West. Considers more weight should be given to the length of time the existing boundaries have been in place, the Waikato River as a topographical feature and facilities of communication than the community of interest arguments put forward in the objections.

Suggested solution: Retain as much of Hamilton West to the west of the Waikato River as possible within statutory tolerances.

N29

N29-601 b, n, h

Bay of Plenty

Counter-objection A von Dadelszen

Relates to objections N29-001, N29-002 and N29-003

Objects to the suggestion the Tauranga and Bay of Plenty electorates be redrawn along an east/west line as the existing and proposed boundaries have historically worked well over a number of years, with the urban community of interest well grouped together under Tauranga and the rural community of interest well grouped under Bay of Plenty. Also objects to the suggested names for the electorates.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission. If a name change is required, supports the name 'Western Bay of Plenty' for the electorate.

N29-602 b, n

Counter-objection M Brookes

Relates to objections N29-001, N29-002 and N29-003

Similar to N29-601

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.

N29-603 b, n

Counter-objection M Christiesen

Relates to objections N29-001, N29-002 and N29-003

Similar to N29-601

Suggested solution: Similar to N29-602

N29-604 b, n

Counter-objection D Sorensen

Relates to objections N29-001, N29-002 and N29-003

Similar to N29-601

Suggested solution: Similar to N29-602

N29-605 b

Counter-objection S Morris

Relates to objections N29-001 to N29-005

Does not support a complete redraw of the Tauranga and Bay of Plenty boundaries on the basis it would cause confusion. The Tauranga electorate boundaries have been similar since 1996 and there appears to be little support for the suggestion. Considers Pyes Pa has similarities with Papamoa and Welcome Bay as all three are growing outer suburbs of Tauranga.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission. Extend the boundary by Papamoa to Bell Road to cater for future growth on the rural-urban fringe.

N29-606 b

Counter-objection R Foggin

Relates to objection N29-005

Considers Tauranga only needs one MP and the suggested split is not a good idea.

Suggested solution: Keep Tauranga within one electoral district.

N32

N32-601 b, h

East Coast

Counter-objection Gisborne District Council

Relates to objection N32-002

Further to making objection N32-002, Gisborne District Council suggests an alternative boundary for the East Coast electorate to that proposed by the Representation Commission taking account of existing boundaries, community of interest, topographical features and facilities of communication and the general electorate population quota.

Suggested solution: East Coast to be made up of the existing East Coast electorate area, the Wairoa District area and eight meshblocks of the Whakatane District.

N32-602 b, h

Counter-objection T Bonne, Mayor of Whakatāne District Council

Relates to objection N32-003 (and N32-001, N32-005, N32-006 and N32-008)

Objects to the Murupara Community Board's suggestion the communities of Murupara, Galatea, Ruatahuna, Waiohau, Minginui and Te Whaiti be excluded from the East Coast electorate. Notes the East Coast electorate is under quota and is predicted to decline significantly against quota. Including these areas in East Coast is more consistent with the established local authority boundaries and will provide administrative efficiencies as well as more consistent governance. There is strong collaboration occurring between Opotiki, Whakatane and Kawerau Councils, all of which will sit within the East Coast electorate under the proposal.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission for inclusion of the southern part of the Whakatane District within the East Coast electorate.

N32-603 b

Counter-objection A Natusch and nine others

Relates to objection N32-001

Objects to the suggestion SH2 should be the boundary between East Coast and Rotorua because this would divide a community of interest.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission as they better serve the greater proportion of the community.

N32-604 b

Counter-objection R Patterson

Relates to objection N32-007

Objects to the suggestion Maketu be excluded from the East Coast electorate because it is geographically remote and does not share community links with the electorate. Notes the iwi affiliation referred to in the objection is not a relevant statutory criteria for the general electorates. Also notes the coast from Coromandel to East Cape shares many community links and common interests.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.

N33

N33-601

n

Taranaki-King Country

Counter-objection **G Seymour**

Relates to objection N33-020

Objects to the suggestion 'Taranaki' should be removed from the electorate name.

Suggested solution: Name the electorate 'Te Rohe Pōtae' as the proposed electorate reflects the original Rohe Pōtae.

N33-602

b, n

Counter-objection **J Lawson**

Relates to objection N33-020

Notes the electorate has the third highest number of objections and agrees the constituency should be split as suggested by many submitters. Agrees the name 'Taranaki-King Country' is no longer appropriate. Objects to the suggested name 'King Country'.

Suggested solution: If the electorate is not split the name should reflect that it is made up of King Country and parts of Hamilton, Waikato, Taranaki and Manawatu-Whanganui.

N33-603

b, n

Counter-objection **R Gallagher**

Relates to N33-020

Objects to the suggested electorate name of 'King Country'. The electorate name should include Raglan as it is a vital town and tourist destination in the electorate or the name should reflect the fact the electorate is made up of King Country and bits of Hamilton, Waikato, Taranaki, Manawatu and Whanganui.

Suggested solution: Name the electorate 'Bits Of' or 'Raglan-King Country'.

N33-604

b, n

Counter-objection **R McDowall**

Relates to objection N33-024 and N33-025

Does not consider Taranaki-King Country to be excessively large compared to other New Zealand electorates. Suggests more organic electoral boundaries that reflect the local regionalism of the area could be adopted.

Suggested solution: Retain the existing northern boundary of the Taranaki-King Country electorate. Retain Stratford in Taranaki-King Country and the existing boundary with Whanganui. Taranaki-King Country could gain further population from Taumaranui.

Supports adopting the boundary proposed by the Representation Commission for New Plymouth and that the name 'New Plymouth' be retained.

The Whanganui boundary could move southwards to include Turakina, Marton and possibly Bulls to reach its population quota. Alternatively, the boundary could move north east to include Raetihi and possibly Ohakune.

The boundary of Rangitikei could be extended northwards to include Rangipo and its surrounding area.

N37

N37-601

b, h

Whanganui

Counter-objection

A Chesswas

Relates to objection N37-001

Supports the inclusion of Stratford in Whanganui as it provides residents with better access to a closer and more compact electorate. Considers this should also be extended to the inhabitants of rural Stratford North and East.

Suggested solution: Preferred solution is to include all of the Stratford District together in Whanganui. Alternatively recommends the Commission consider a boundary following either the high voltage transmission line, the Makino Stream, the Stratford-Okahukura railway line or along the Kahouri Stream, Patea River and Stratford-Okahukura railway line.

N37-602

b, h

Counter-objection

R and J Gardin

Relates to objection N37-001

Supports the inclusion of Stratford in Whanganui. Considers Toko, Douglas, Tututawa, Strathmore and Te Wera should also be included in Whanganui.

Suggested solution: For the boundary to follow either the railway line or the high voltage transmission line.

N37-603

b

Counter-objection

D Woollaston

Relates to objections N37-001

Supports the inclusion of Stratford in Whanganui. Considers Taranaki East should also be included in the electorate to prevent the splitting of a community of interest.

Suggested solution: Move the boundary north.

N37-604

b

Counter-objection

N Oliver

Relates to objections N37-001

Supports the inclusion of Stratford in Whanganui. Considers all Stratford based areas and whoever uses Stratford as its main centre should also be included in the electorate.

Suggested solution: Include all of Stratford's outlying rural areas in the Whanganui electorate.

N37-605

b

Counter-objection

N Law

Relates to objections N37-001

Similar to N37-602

Suggested solution: Include Stratford, Strathmore, Toko and Douglas in the Whanganui electorate. For the boundary to run along the railway line or the high voltage power lines.

N38 N38-601	b, h	<p>Rangitīkei</p> <p>Counter-objection F Macdonald</p> <p>Relates to objections N38-002</p> <p>Objects on the grounds of population demographics, the history of the electorate and voting figures within the Ōtaki electorate.</p>
N44 N44-601	b	<p>Rimutaka</p> <p>Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party</p> <p>Relates to objection N44-002 and similar objections</p> <p>Supports the proposal to include the entire Hutt Valley in two electoral districts. Considers continuing to split the Western Hills into two districts is a more optimal interpretation of the statutory criteria than disturbing the existing boundary on the valley floor.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.</p>
N45 N45-601	n	<p>Ōhāriu</p> <p>Counter-objection G Seymour</p> <p>Relates to objection N45-001</p> <p>Objects to the suggestions to change the name of the electorate to English names.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the name 'Ōhāriu' with a macron on 'hā'. An alternative name of 'Kauhau' could be considered as the local maunga.</p>
N46 N46-601	b	<p>Hutt South</p> <p>Counter-objection M Shierlaw</p> <p>Relates to objections N46-001 to N46-004</p> <p>Does not consider the suggested changes to the boundary between Hutt South and Rimutaka go far enough. The Western Hills are a community of interest and should be in the same electorate, as they largely are for local authority elections.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Hutt South to include Normandale, Harbour View, Tirohanga, Belmont and Kelson. Include Naenae in Rimutaka to compensate for the additional population for Hutt South.</p>
N48 N48-601	n	<p>Rongotai</p> <p>Counter-objection G Seymour</p> <p>Relates objection N48-001</p> <p>Prefers the electorate keep a Māori name to reflect local history. 'Whāitaitai' represents the body of the taniwha tūpuna that is embodied in the Motukairangi (Mirimar Peninsula).</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Whāitaitai'.</p>

S03

Kaikōura

S03-601

n

Counter-objection **G Seymour**

Relates to objection S03-001

Prefers to maintain Māori names for electorates.

Suggested solution: Retain the name 'Kaikōura' for the electorate.

S03-602

b

Counter-objection **J Arden**

Relates to objection S03-001

Generally supports the objection but considers it covers too wide an area.

Suggested solution: Waimakariri ratepayers should be included in the Waimakariri electorate.

S04

Waimakariri

S04-601

b, h

Counter-objection **Hon K Wilkinson, MP for Waimakariri**

Relates to objections S04-001, S04-002 and S06-001 to S06-007

Objects to any additional population being added to the southern end of the electorate. Objects to the suggested change of the boundary between Waimakariri and Christchurch Central from the railway line to Main North Road as the railway line is a natural boundary. Objects to the splitting of the Redwood community.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundary proposed by the Representation Commission.

S04-602

b, h

Counter-objection **J Gerard QSO**

Relates to objections S04-001, S04-002, S06-001, S06-005 and S06-006

Supports moving as little population as is necessary from urban Christchurch into Waimakariri. Notes Waimakariri District Council area is one of the fastest growing areas in New Zealand. Objects to the suggestion Main North Road should be the boundary. Considers the railway line to make a sensible boundary.

Suggested solution: Adopt the boundary proposed by the Representation Commission.

S04-603

b, h

Counter-objection **W Head**

Relates to objections S04-001 to S04-004 and S06-005 and S06-006

Objects to the suggested change of the boundary between Waimakariri and Christchurch Central from the railway line to Main North Road as it does not reflect the nature of the community straddling the Main North Road or the use of amenities in the area.

Suggested solution: Extend the northern boundary of Christchurch Central to include Regents Park residential area on the south of Styx Mill Road, continuing the boundary east of Cavendish Road to Claridges Road and along Glasnevin Drive to Sawyers Arm Road, thereby placing Redwood and Casebrook in Christchurch Central. Alternatively, adopt the boundary of the railway line proposed by the Representation Commission.

S05

Christchurch East

- S05-601 b **Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party**
Relates to objections S05-001 to S05-009, S06-008, S06-011 and S06-012
- Supports the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission between Christchurch East and Christchurch Central. Notes the need to add electoral population to Christchurch East to replace post earthquake migration. Considers the Representation Commission has selected the most appropriate adjacent areas to move from Christchurch Central, including parts of Shirley, which enables a measure of unification of communities of interest and aligns with the Electoral Act criteria.
- Suggested solution:* Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.
- S05-602 n, h **Counter-objection R Alexander**
Relates to objections S05-001 to S05-009, S05-011, S06-008 and S06-011
- Notes a number of objectors do not want to be part of the Christchurch East electorate. Suggests the electorate should have a future focused name.
- Suggested solution:* Name the electorate 'Parklands'.
- S05-603 b, h **Counter-objection N Button and 122 others**
Relates to objections S05-001 to S05-005
- Petition in support of the objections to retain Mairehau and St Albans within Central Christchurch.
- Suggested solution:* Retain Mairehau and St Albans in the Christchurch Central electorate.
- S05-604 b **Counter-objection T Comer**
Relates to objection S05-008
- Considers Mairehau and St Albans do not have much in common with Christchurch East. Notes the people of Bromley have traditionally used the areas of Linwood and Woolston as their main community.
- Suggested solution:* Retain Bromley in the Port Hills electorate.
- S05-605 b **Counter-objection A Gilbert**
Relates to objections S05-008 and S08-005
- Objects to the suggested boundary change. As a resident of Bromley, uses shops and facilities in Woolston and Linwood. Does not support this area being included in Christchurch East.
- Suggested solution:* Similar to S05-604.
- S05-606 b, h **Counter-objection A Sisson**
Relates to objections S05-008, S05-011 and S08-005
- Supports the Bromley area remaining in the Port Hills electorate. Bromley's community of interest and connections, including schooling and sports and leisure activities, are with Port Hills.

Suggested solution: Similar to S05-604.

- | | | |
|----------------|---------|---|
| S05-607 | b | <p>Counter-objection B Christian
Relates to objections S05-008, S05-011 and S08-005</p> <p>Considers Bromley to have a strong connection to Woolston and its facilities, whereas Christchurch East facilities are further away and require access to transport. Notes the school links between Bromley and Woolston.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to S05-604.</p> |
| S05-608 | b | <p>Counter-objection T Bennett
Relates to objection S05-011</p> <p>Supports the Bromley area remaining in the Port Hills electorate. Notes Woolston and Bromley have community connections and links with schools and social and sporting activities in the area.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to S05-604.</p> |
| S05-609 | b | <p>Counter-objection R Comer
Relates to objections S05-011</p> <p>Supports the Bromley area remaining in the Port Hills electorate. Notes the distance and differences between this area and areas in Christchurch East.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Similar to S05-604.</p> |
| S05-610 | b, n, h | <p>Counter-objection J Norton
Relates to objections S05-011</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion Bromley be included in the Port Hills electorate because of links with shops and facilities in Woolston. As a resident of Bromley the counter-objector does not go to Woolston and considers Bromley to be more connected to New Brighton and will be better represented by the MP for Christchurch East.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Include Bromley in Christchurch East.</p> |
|
S06 | | |
| S06-601 | b, h | <p>Christchurch Central</p> <p>Counter-objection Sigjaws Trust - Neighbourhood Support
Relates to objection S06-005</p> <p>Further to making objection S06-005, Sigjaws Trust reiterates its support for the boundary between Christchurch East and Waimakariri to be Main North Road to enable the Northcote and Redwood communities to continue to work together.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Main North Road to be the boundary between Christchurch Central and Waimakariri.</p> |

S06-602	b, h	<p>Counter-objection H Perry</p> <p>Relates to objection S06-008</p> <p>Supports the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission. Notes there has been a large loss of population in Christchurch East. Including Mairehau in Christchurch East recognises the Palms shopping complex is a focus point of the area. Notes Cranford Street is a more significant barrier that divides St Albans.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.</p>
S06-603	b, n	<p>Counter-objection D Maclure</p> <p>Relates to objections S06-011 to S06-015</p> <p>Objects to the suggested names 'Avon' and 'Otakaro' on the basis they would take away the electorate's identity. Also objects to the submissions that suggest changes to the boundary to the north with Waimakariri.</p>
S06-604	n	<p>Counter-objection G Seymour</p> <p>Relates to objection S06-011</p> <p>Supports the suggested name 'Ōtākaro' for Christchurch Central and the use of Māori names for electorates.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Ōtākaro'.</p>
S06-605	n	<p>Counter-objection S Waddel</p> <p>Relates to objection S06-012</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion the electorate keeps the name 'Christchurch Central' as the name is not suitable given the proposed boundaries extend beyond the central city.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Avon' or 'Avon-Otakaro'.</p>
S06-606	b, n	<p>Counter-objection M Spackman</p> <p>Relates to objection S06-012</p> <p>Objects to the name 'Christchurch Central' as the proposed electorate includes Redwood in the north and Beckenham in the south. Also objects to the boundary suggested by S06-012 as it is not defined clearly.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Christchurch Otakaro' in recognition of the importance of Ngai Tahu's historical attachment with the Avon. Retain St Albans and Mairehau in the electorate and look at other areas to adjust the electorate size.</p>

S06-607	b, n	<p>Counter-objection A Blazey</p> <p>Relates to objection S06-012</p> <p>Does not support the northern boundary following the railway line as it is not a logical boundary. Does not support the exclusion of Mairehau and St Albans and considers they are not connected to the east. Supports a name change for the electorate.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> The northern boundary to follow Main North Road. Retain Mairehau and St Albans in Christchurch Central. Instead include the Harewood Road section, Casebrook and the area east of Linwood Avenue in Christchurch East. Marshlands could also be included in Christchurch East. If further population is required the Beckenham area could be reallocated.</p> <p>Depending on the final boundaries, the name of the electorate could be 'Christchurch North'. Alternatively name the electorate 'Avon-Otakaro'.</p>
S08		
S08-601	b, n, h	<p>Counter-objection Rt Hon D Carter MP</p> <p>Relates to objections S08-001 to S08-004</p> <p>Objects to suggestions the Halswell area be excluded from the Port Hills electorate. As MP for Banks Peninsula from 1996 to 1999, considers Halswell to be an important link for the urban part of the electorate and the Banks Peninsula. Supports a change of name as 'Port Hills' is a geographically incorrect description for the proposed electorate.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Include the Halswell area in the electorate. Name the electorate 'Banks Peninsula'.</p>
S08-602	b	<p>Counter-objection M Ryan, Oakvale Farm Ltd</p> <p>Relates to objection S08-001</p> <p>Notes the greater Halswell area is undergoing significant residential development. Supports the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission as they will ensure the area is represented by an MP based in and concerned solely with the Christchurch City Council.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Adopt the boundaries proposed by the Representation Commission.</p>
S08-603	b, h	<p>Counter-objection R Gartens and 194 others</p> <p>Relates to objection S08-003</p> <p>Petition opposing the objection and petition S08-003. Considers greater Halswell to be part of urban Christchurch and associates with Christchurch City, not rural Selwyn.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Include the greater Halswell area in the Port Hills electorate.</p>

S08-604	b, h	<p>Counter-objection L Macfarlane</p> <p>Relates to objections S08-005, S08-010 and S08-012</p> <p>Supports the retention of the existing boundaries between Port Hills and Selwyn and objects to the inclusion of Halswell in Port Hills. Notes Halswell has more in common with other Selwyn towns such as Prebbleton, Lincoln and Rolleston, which are also undergoing rapid expansion, than the Port Hills suburbs. Education links between Halswell and Selwyn are also strong. Objects to the suggestion in S08-005 that Prebbleton be included in Port Hills.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the existing boundaries between Port Hills and Selwyn. Adjust the Selwyn boundary elsewhere, for instance, retain Hei Hei, Masham, Islington and Broomfield in the Wigram electorate.</p>
S08-605	b, h	<p>Counter-objection C Pascoe</p> <p>Relates to objection S08-005</p> <p>Supports the objection to the proposed boundaries. Objects to the inclusion of Halswell in Port Hills. Supports the suggestion to include Somerfield from Milton Street south into Port Hills.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Banks Peninsula and Halswell in Selwyn. Include population from Somerfield (south of Sparks, Milton and Frankleigh Streets, west of Rollesby Street and east of Colombo Street) in Port Hills.</p>
S08-606	b	<p>Counter-objection K Tritt</p> <p>Relates to objection S08-005</p> <p>Supports the suggestion to move Somerfield into the Port Hills electorate.</p>
S08-607	b	<p>Counter-objection J Lobban</p> <p>Relates to objection S08-006</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion to include Aidanfield in the Port Hills electorate. Considers all of Aidanfield should be included in Selwyn.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> The boundary to follow Wigram Road, around the outside of Templeton Reserve, along Templeton Road and onto Hendersons Road.</p>
S08-608	b, h	<p>Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party</p> <p>Relates to objections S08-006 to S08-012</p> <p>Objects to suggestions the suburbs of Halswell, Oaklands and Aidanfield etc have connections only with the city and that the Heathcote River boundary unreasonably splits Aidanfield. Considers the community of interest ties and connections between these suburbs and the Selwyn electorate, in particular Tai Tapu, Lincoln and Prebbleton, are well established. Notes Aidanfield is a growing area that is bisected by the new Southern Motorway.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the suburbs of Halswell, Oaklands and Aidanfield etc in Selwyn.</p>

S08-609	b	<p>Counter-objection L Jackson</p> <p>Relates to objections S08-008 and S08-010</p> <p>Objects to the inclusion of Halswell in the Port Hills electorate. Notes this area has only recently been moved into the Selwyn electorate and considers it to have a longstanding community of interest with Tai Tapu, Prebbleton and Lincoln in the Selwyn electorate. Suggests it would be more appropriate to review the boundaries once construction has been completed and the effect on population can be seen.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Halswell, Oaklands and Aidanfield in Selwyn. Retain Hornby, Islington and Hei Hei in Wigram to balance population numbers.</p>
S08-610	n	<p>Counter-objection G Seymour</p> <p>Relates to objection S08-008</p> <p>Objects to the suggestion to name the electorate 'Banks Peninsula'.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Name the electorate 'Akaroa', which would be consistent with Māori naming practices.</p>
S08-611	b	<p>Counter-objection L Macbeth</p> <p>Relates to objections S08-008 and S08-009</p> <p>Objects to submissions that support the movement of areas of Selwyn into the Port Hills electorate. Considers the rural interests of the community would be better represented by Selwyn. Supports objection S08-013.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> For the boundaries to be as close as possible to the existing boundaries.</p>
S08-612	b	<p>Counter-objection P Knight</p> <p>Relates to objections S08-008, S08-009, S08-010 and S08-012</p> <p>Supports the retention of the existing boundaries. Objects to boundary changes for the Halswell area and suggests boundary changes are not made until population movement in Christchurch stabilises.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Halswell in the Selwyn electorate.</p>
S08-613	b, n	<p>Counter-objection N Taylor</p> <p>Relates to objection S08-010</p> <p>Objects to the inclusion of Westmorland, Halswell, Oaklands and Aidanfield in Port Hills. Considers these communities' links and services are with Prebbleton and Rolleston.</p> <p><i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain the existing electorate boundaries and names used for the 2011 election.</p>

S10

- | | | |
|---------|------|--|
| S10-601 | b, h | Counter-objection E Ebborn
Relates to objection S10-001 to S10-006 and S10-008

Supports in principle objections S10-001 to S10-006 and S10-008. Suggests moving Somerfield from Wigram to Port Hills as the Somerfield area identifies with the communities to the south rather than the west.

<i>Suggested solution:</i> Include Somerfield in Port Hills with the boundary running along Frankleigh and Milton Roads, which is the Christchurch City Council boundary for Somerfield. |
| S10-602 | b, h | Counter-objection New Zealand Labour Party
Relates to objection S10-007

Objects to the suggestion the proposed boundaries be adopted with only minor changes.

<i>Suggested solution:</i> Closer adherence to the statutory criteria can be achieved with the adoption of boundaries closer to the existing boundaries. |
| S10-603 | b, h | Counter-objection Dr J Kirk
Relates to objection S10-007

Objects to Broomfield, Islington and Hei Hei being included in Selwyn on the basis they have a strong community of interest with greater Hornby and virtually none with Selwyn. Notes these communities have very high health, social and education needs. Considers the inclusion of these communities in the greater Hornby area important for them to have a voice to access services, as they may find it difficult for their needs to be represented in Selwyn, a high decile electorate.

<i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Hei Hei, Broomfield and Islington in the Wigram electorate. |
| S10-604 | b, h | Counter-objection M Mora, Chair of Riccarton/Wigram Community Board
Relates to objection S10-007

Objects to Broomfield, Islington, Masham and Hei Hei being included in Selwyn on the basis the community south of Yaldhurst Road has its community of interest in the wider Hornby area and associates with Hornby schools and facilities.

<i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Broomfield, Masham, Islington and Hei Hei in Wigram. Consider moving areas of south east Spreydon into either Port Hills or Christchurch Central. |
| S10-605 | b, h | Counter-objection N Bryden
Relates to objection S10-007

Objects to Hei Hei, Broomfield and Islington being included in Selwyn. Considers these areas have their community of interest in the greater Hornby area. Notes the Hornby hub and retail centre is poised to become one of the largest community hubs in urban Christchurch and there are industrial and education developments in the greater Hornby area.

<i>Suggested solution:</i> Retain Hei Hei, Broomfield and Islington in Wigram. Consider including Russley and Hyde Park in Ilam or Selwyn electorates. |

